

Date: November 17, 2022

THE SALT LAKE VALLEY LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICE AREA BOARD OF TRUSTEES (“BOARD”) MET ON THURSDAY, NOVEMBER 17, 2022, AT 10:00 AM. THE PUBLIC WAS NOTIFIED THAT THEY MAY JOIN THE OPEN PORTIONS OF THE MEETING BY CALLING 1-669 900-9128 AND ENTERING MEETING ID 92301763844# AND PASSWORD 259919#. THE PUBLIC WAS ALLOWED TO LISTEN TO ALL OPEN PORTIONS OF THE MEETING. BOARD MEMBERS AND PERSONS PARTICIPATING BY PHONE OR ELECTRONICALLY WERE ABLE TO HEAR ALL DISCUSSIONS.

<u>Present:</u>	<u>Excused:</u>	<u>Attended Via Zoom:</u>
TRUSTEE JIM BRADLEY, SALT LAKE COUNTY		TRUSTEE CHRIS STAVROS, SALT LAKE COUNTY
TRUSTEE ALAN PETERSON, KEARNS		TRUSTEE CAROLYN KEIGLEY, BRIGHTON
TRUSTEE STEVE PROKOPIS, MAGNA		TRUSTEE DEA THEODORE, SALT LAKE COUNTY
TRUSTEE PHILLIP CARDENAZ, WHITE CITY		
TRUSTEE DAVID BREMS, EMIGRATION CANYON		
TRUSTEE DAVID OLSEN, COPPERTON		

ALSO ATTENDED:

UNDERSHERIFF JAKE PETERSEN, SALT LAKE COUNTY UNDERSHERIFF

CHIEF OF POLICE JASON MAZURAN, UPD CHIEF OF POLICE

FRANK NAKAMURA, SLVLESA DISTRICT ADMINISTRATOR/SLVLESA LEGAL COUNSEL

RICHARD MOON, SLVLESA TREASURER

Item #1-Call to Order.

Trustee Bradley called the meeting to order. A roll call vote for attendance was then conducted.

Item #2- Conflict of interest disclosure.

Action Requested: Identify Conflicts; if any

Discussion: Trustee Bradley asked if there were any conflicts of interest. None were identified.

Item #3-Public Comment

Action Requested: None

Discussion: Trustee Bradley asked if there were any members of the public who wished to address the Board. There were none.

Item #4- Present Expenditures for the Month of October 2022 and Other Financial Information.

Action Requested: None

Discussion: Richard Moon said the check register is in the packet and he asked if anyone had any questions on it. No one did.

Item #5- Issues for the 2023 Legislative Session.

Action Requested: None

Discussion: Frank Nakamura said he wants to make sure they have direction from the Board regarding their lobbying efforts for the 2023 legislative session.

Frank Pignanelli said they are following what is happening with the legislation that is sponsored by Representative Teuscher. He said he spoke with him yesterday, prior to the committee hearing and said our biggest issue is that we can make sure that the Metro Townships located in Salt Lake County, have access to law enforcement services and that also needs to be a primary consideration for him, and he agreed. Also, there is a study being conducted and that study will hopefully be available before the session begins in mid-January and that will help them in their deliberation and in their outreach to members of the legislature and where that legislation may eventually go.

He said in their last discussion they talked about the potential of getting the tax cap raised. He said it would be difficult to get the tax cap raised while there is still the outstanding item of the telecommunications and energy tax that they had secured for the metro townships, that have not been utilized yet. He said there will probably be very little or no inclination from the legislature to raise the cap until there had been some analysis of what those would mean. The other issue would be Kennecott

and their engagement in that too, so those are the two issues he thinks would prevent a raising of the cap in the 2023 session and they can look at it for the 2024 session if those issues are resolved.

Frank Nakamura asked if there were any objections on where they were headed with that.

Trustee Brems said in their meeting a couple of days ago, they had a public hearing on the telecommunications and energy tax. He said they tabled that decision, but he thinks the meeting went favorably. He said it is also in conjunction with everybody else doing what they agreed to do and he would like to know the status of that.

Frank Nakamura said what they decided is that staff will prepare a PowerPoint presentation so that they can inform the councils and they would then be asked to go to the council meetings and provide that information and they would do that after the beginning of the year.

Item #6- Discuss Judgement Levy for 2022.

Action Requested: None

Discussion: Frank Nakamura said the way the process works is that there is a board of equalization that adjusts the property tax someone pays and then they can determine whether the taxpayer can get a refund. This year the Board of Equalization issued a \$46,000 refund and we can pursue a judgement levy to collect the \$46,000, however we would need to go through truth-in-taxation and do a public hearing in December at 6:00 pm. SLVLESA's treasurer is recommending that this probably does not warrant going out and collecting this. It is not an amount that justifies going through the truth-in-taxation process but ultimately the decision is up to the Board. If they do pursue the judgement levy, then they will have to do the notice requirements and the truth-in-taxation. He also said that he believes it will be confusing for the public when they receive notices that taxes are increasing, even though it is such a minimal amount.

Trustee Bradley asked if there was any discussion by the Board members about what they should do. He said he believes that Frank Nakamura's advice is correct, and we should move on.

Trustee Prokopis asked if they needed a motion to do that.

Trustee Stavros said if you look at the \$46,000 in relationship to the total budget, it is not worth pursuing this through truth-in-taxation. He believes they should pick their battles for something more significant. There was no objection from Board Members to forgo the judgment levy.

Item #7-Report by Under-Sheriff Jacob Petersen.

Action Requested: None

Discussion: Undersheriff Petersen said that The Office of the Sheriff is having a "Shopping with the Shield" event which they are very excited about. It is an annual event, on December 10th at 7am at the Midvale Walmart. He said it is an extremely warm and uplifting experience to attend that and be a part of that. He said they would love everyone to come and participate.

Item #8-Consider RESOLUTION NO. 221117-1 OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE SALT LAKE VALLEY LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICE AREA (SLVLESA) AMENDING THE CALENDAR YEAR 2022 SLVLESA BUDGET INCREASING PROPERTY TAX REVENUE (DUE TO NEW GROWTH) BY \$2,466,190 AND DECREASING BUDGETARY USE OF FUND BALANCE BY \$2,466,190.

Action Requested: Motion, Second and Vote to Open Public Hearing. Receive public comment. Motion, Second and Vote to Close Public Hearing. Discussion and Motion, Second and Vote on Resolution.

Discussion: Richard Moon said every year we get shown what our new growth is and this year our new growth was \$2,466,190. They do not budget for that because they do not know what that number will be. He said now they are going to add to their fund balance instead of taking from it. Trustee Bradley asked if it was positive for the budget and Richard said yes.

Frank Nakamura said it is an unusually large amount this year.

Trustee Prokopis asked if they will have a net gain because of this now.

Richard Moon said yes, because of this they will now have a net gain in the fund balance.

Trustee Bradley motioned to open the public hearing.

Trustee Prokopis seconded the motion.

All voted in favor; none opposed.

The public hearing was opened.

Trustee Bradley asked if there were any members of the public who wished to address the Board. There were none.

Trustee Bradley entertained a motion to close the public hearing.

Trustee Prokopis motioned to close the public hearing.

Trustee Peterson seconded the motion.

All voted in favor; none opposed.

The public hearing was closed.

Trustee Bradley entertained a motion to approve Resolution 221117-1.

Trustee Prokopis motioned to approve the Resolution.

Trustee Cardenas seconded the motion.

All voted in favor; none opposed.

The Resolution passed.

Item #9-Consider RESOLUTION NO. 221117-2 OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES (BOARD) OF THE SALT LAKE VALLEY LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICE AREA (SLVLESA) ADOPTING AMENDMENTS AND REVISIONS MADE BY THE SLVLESA BOARD OF TRUSTEES (BOARD) TO THE TENTATIVE BUDGET FOR CALENDAR YEAR 2023 SUBMITTED BY THE SLVLESA BUDGET OFFICER ON OCTOBER 20, 2022, AND DIRECTING THAT THE TENTATIVE BUDGET, AS AMENDED AND REVISED, BE ON FILE FOR PUBLIC INSPECTION IN THE SLVLESA FINANCE OFFICE UNTIL THE ADOPTION OF THE SLVLESA 2023 FINAL BUDGET ON DECEMBER 15, 2022.

Action Requested: Motion, Second and Vote.

Discussion: Frank Nakamura said they presented the tentative budget to the Board last month and this is an opportunity for any Board members who want to make any adjustments or changes to the tentative budget. No Board Member had any changes or adjustments to the Tentative Budget.

Trustee Bradley entertained a motion to approve Resolution 221117-2 showing no changes or adjustments.

Trustee Cardenas motioned to approve the Resolution.

Trustee Prokopis seconded the motion.

All voted in favor; none opposed.

The Resolution passed.

Item #10- Consider RESOLUTION NO. 221117-3 OF THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE SALT LAKE VALLEY LAW ENFORCEMENT SERVICE AREA (SLVLESA) APPROVING THE NINTH AMENDMENT TO THE INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT GOVERNING THE UNIFIED POLICE DEPARTMENT (UPD) REQUIRING INCREASES IN THE UPCOMING FISCAL YEAR UPD BUDGET BE DETERMINED ON OR BEFORE THE REGULARLY SCHEDULED MEETING IN MARCH WITH CERTAIN EXCEPTIONS SUBJECT TO SUBSEQUENT CHANGE BY A SUPER MAJORITY WEIGHTED VOTE OF THE UPD BOARD.

Action Requested: Motion, Second and Vote.

Discussion: Frank Nakamura said that SLVLESA is a signator to the interlocal agreement under the Utah Interlocal Agreement Act and all signators must approve any changes to the agreement. There has been an amendment that has been approved by the Unified Police Department Board in the meeting immediately preceding theirs. The premise of it is to require the UPD Board to try and get a number as accurate as possible of proposed assessment increases by March of the budget year. This is to allow municipalities to get ahead of their budget process. As far as SLVLESA goes, we work on a Calendar year basis, so it doesn't have quite the impact. A number is provided in March but could be changed by a supermajority of the weighted vote of the UPD Board. Because SLVLESA is a signator to the agreement, it must come to the Board for approval.

Trustee Bradley entertained a motion to approve the Resolution.

Trustee Brems motioned to approve.

Trustee Peterson seconded the motion.

All voted in favor; none opposed.

The Resolution passed.

Item #11-Approve Minutes for the October 20, 2022, Board Meeting.

Action Requested: Motion, Second and Vote.

Discussion: Trustee Bradley entertained a motion to approve the minutes.

Trustee Peterson motioned to approve.

Trustee Brems seconded the motion.

All voted in favor; none opposed.

The minutes were approved.

Item#12- Adjourn

Trustee Bradley adjourned the meeting.