HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS of IRRIGATION CANAL SYSTEMS in SALT LAKE COUNTY

Kenneth W. Watson Kent Miner Cheryl Contant Terry Way Salt Lake County 208 Water Quality Project September 1976

HYDRAULIC ANALYSIS OF IRRIGATION CANAL SYSTEMS IN SALT LAKE COUNTY

SALT LAKE COUNTY 208 PROJECT STAFF

Kenneth W. Watson Kent Miner Cheryl Contant Terry Way

September 1976

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page i
INTRODUCTION	1
Background	1
Objectives	1
Scope of Investigation	2
SUMMARY OF RESULTS	3
REVIEW OF PREVIOUS/RELATED INVESTIGATIONS	3
INVESTIGATIVE METHODOLOGY	4
RESULTS	5
GENERAL DISCUSSION	7.
REFERENCES	23
Table 1Comparisons of Irrigation Acreage208 Study Results (NASA) Versus Data from State Engineer's Office	8
Table 2Crop Consumptive Uses	8
Table 3Computation of Irrigation Water Requirements	9
Table 4Canal Excess Flows	12
Table 5Salt Lake County Irrigation Return Flows	15
Table 6Surveillance of Salt Lake County Irrigation Canals	17

I. INTRODUCTION

A. Background

In the past, agriculture was a major industry in the Salt Lake Valley. With growth and expansion, much of the land used for agriculture has been converted to residential or commercial use. However, irrigation canal flows have not decreased accordingly. The excess water remains in the canal and floods irrigated land and returns to the canals or to the Jordan River. Thus, a water and agricultural use imbalance has been created.

The remaining agricultural return flows to the Jordan River are a major problem with the water quality during the summer months. In the past, very little investigation has been undertaken to determine points of agricultural return flows and to investigate the agricultural water balance in the valley. With the lack of available information, the need to investigate quality, quantity, and location of return flows becomes even more apparent.

An accurate description of the canal systems and the surrounding lands is necessary in developing any future use patterns for these lands. A surveillance of the general patterns of development near a canal was made to gather some data concerning present use.

This study has focused its attention on prime agricultural land west of the Jordan River. All data that has been collected to date are limited in coverage and intensive study is indicated.

B. Objectives

The overall objective of this report is to provide information on the nature, use and efficiency of the west side canal system in the valley.

One of the specific goals in this analysis is to pinpoint agricultural returns, not only to the Jordan River, but to the various canal systems traversing the valley. The second specific goal is to investigate the irrigation water balance of the canals on the west side of the Jordan River.

C. Scope of Investigation

With the help of NASA U-2 infrared photography, an investigation of actual farmed acreages (as of September 1972) was undertaken. Plots of land were placed in one of the following categories:

- 1) heavy irrigation
- 2) intermediate irrigation
- 3) light irrigation (see Table 1)

For a comparison, the State Engineer's Office (1) was contacted to obtain available information (maps) on each canal system. The maps contained information on irrigation rights for each canal and actual irrigated acreages. The same consumptive use figures that were used in the U-2 information were applied to obtain quantities of water that are desirable for irrigation purposes.

Optimum irrigation and actual practices were compared to the annual flow in acre-feet per year for each canal system. The canal annual flow data were obtained from Utah Lake and the Jordan River Distribution Reports of 1972. (2) A factor of 15 percent loss of water due to seepage and evaporation in the canal distribution system was applied to all canal systems. From the State Engineer's information, return flows to each canal and the Jordan River were located.

To give a general description of the canal system, the access road for Utah and Salt Lake Canal was followed. The lands surrounding the canal were observed and the location of all storm drains recorded. Due

to variations in water rights days, agriculture return flows were not recorded. However, it can be assumed that most irrigated lands return used or excess water somewhere along the banks of the canals.

The field survey included just one canal but other descriptions were obtained from Salt Lake County Planning District Maps.

II. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Information from the NASA U-2 photography and the State Engineer's maps indicates that the amounts of water diverted to the canal systems are between 80 percent and 34 percent in excess of amounts needed for irrigation with 75 percent efficiency. With a substantial drop in irrigation efficiency (to 50 percent) this study shows that a water excess of between 2 percent and 70 percent still exists.

The North and South Jordan Canals showed the highest percentages of excess irrigation water, thus substantiating the fact that as urbanization has taken place, canal intakes have not been diminished accordingly. In other words, as expected, the canal districts closer to the Jordan River and with higher demand for urbanization have the highest percentages of excess irrigation water.

The surveillance of the Utah and Salt Lake Canal showed a growing urbanization along the banks of the canal. With this growth, an increase in storm drainage inputs occurred.

III. REVIEW OF PREVIOUS/RELATED INVESTIGATIONS

In December 1975, Mr. Robert Sperling completed his Master's thesis which investigated the effects of irrigation return flow on the lower Jordan River and compared the return flows to the effects of urban stormwater runoff and wastewater treatment plant effluents. The study concludes that:

- irrigation regurn flows degrade the quality of the applied water
- 2) irrigation return flows meet stated criteria for most beneficial uses
- 3) Total Dissolved Solids (TDS) is the only quality parameter which presents problems.

No previous investigations or surveillances have been made on the canal character or excess flows.

IV. INVESTIGATIVE METHODOLOGY

The major task was the accumulation of irrigation water rights information and comparison of this information with another reliable source.

The U. S. Department of Agriculture is in charge of NASA infrared photography. A flight of September 14, 1972, using false color infrared was one of the major sources of information for this study. It was felt that a September flight would provide a realistic sample of actual irrigation practices, eliminating any false interpretations due to the seasonal variations in precipitation. Photos from more recent years were obscured by cloud cover and could not provide reliable results. From this mapping, the acreage of irrigated land for each canal system west of the Jordan River was tabulated (see Table 1).

The State Engineer's Office Maps showed irrigated land with respect to actual water rights for each parcel. These maps were obtained and reviewed for information on canal return flows and total irrigated land. The acreages served by each canal system were tabulated and compared with information obtained from the NASA photography (Table 1).

Data on crop water requirements were obtained from the U. S. Departmant of Agriculture and the Soil Conservation Service "Irrigated Crop Consumptive Use Index" (Table 2). (3)

The surveillance of Utah and Salt Lake Canal was performed by car. The access road was driven and locations of storm drains recorded. The description of the additional canals was obtained from Salt Lake County Valley, Magna and West Jordan Planning District maps.

V. RESULTS

A comparison of the U. S. Department of Agriculture data (NASA U-2) and the State Engineer's information indicates a good comparison of agriculture acres, although there is a time differential between the two sources. It is expected that the NASA information would give the best representation of the actual information.

The first step in analyzing excess diverted water is to compute the minimum water requirements at different irrigation efficiencies(Table 3). The leaching requirement was computed using a factor directly related to irrigation efficiency and consumptive use.

and

$$TU = CU + LR$$

therefore

$$E = \frac{CU}{CU + LR}$$

By multiplying both sides by CU + LR/E you obtain

$$CU + LR = \frac{CU}{E}$$

$$LR = \frac{CU}{E} - CU$$

$$LR = CU(\frac{1}{E} - 1)$$

TU = total water used

LR = leaching requirement

CU= = consumptive use requirement

E = irrigation efficiency

Excess canal flows are found by subtracting minimum water requirements from actual canal flows for 1972. A factor of 15 percent loss of water due to seepage and evaporation was applied to canal flow (Table 4).

Assuming an optimum irrigation efficiency of 75 percent, analysis of the canal systems on the west side of the Jordan River indicate that between 34 percent and 80 percent excess water is presently being diverted into the canal systems. If an irrigation efficiency of 50 percent is assumed, excesses of between 2 percent and 70 percent are obtained. It is concluded that exact knowledge of overall irrigation efficiencies is not necessary to show that substantial excess diversions are taking place.

As expected the canals that are closest to the Jordan River have the highest percentage of excess irrigation water. This study indicates that as urbanization has taken place, little or no reduction in water diversions has taken place. Excesses of irrigation return flow to adjacent canals and to the Jordan River are the result.

As part of the agricultural return flow study a preliminary analysis was undertaken to pinpoint locations of agricultural return flows both to irrigation canals and to the Jordan River (Table 5). This list of agricultural return flow locations that were obtained during the process of this study were gathered through the State Engineer's Office and only indicate a partial list of the existing agricultural return flows. It is expected that as the 208 study progresses, the actual observations along with additional published information will augment this report along with additional return flow locations.

The general description of Utah and Salt Lake Canal along with the list of storm drain inputs into this canal are found in Figure 1 and Table 6. Again, this list of inputs is preliminary and not complete. The general description of South Jordan, North Jordan, Utah Lake Distributing and Provo Reservoir lands is also found in Figure 1 and Table 7.

VI. GENERAL DISCUSSION

The review of the surrounding lands of the canals and their excess flows clearly indicate an increase in the development of residential and commercial areas on agricultural land. As this trend continues, excess flows will increase and the necessity for adjustments in canal flows will be necessary. By applying the excess factors from west-side canals at 50 percent irrigation efficiency to the east-side canal system, a value of 31,214 excess acre-feet of diverted water is obtained.

FLOWS FOR EAST-SIDE CANALS⁽²⁾

Canals	Flow(acre-feet)
East Jordan Canal	54,599
Jordan and Salt Lake Canal	14,116
Draper Canal	12,542
Brighton Canal	19,435
Totals	100,692

This conservative estimate when combined with the west-side canals produces a total excess of 73,182 acre-feet of unused diverted irrigation water. This excess canal water violates water resource management practices for flood control purposes and decreases irrigation efficiencies thus increasing return flows to other canals and the Jordan River.

TABLE 1

COMPARISONS OF IRRIGATION ACREAGE--208 STUDY RESULTS (NASA)

VERSUS DATA FROM STATE ENGINEER'S OFFICE

Canal District	nasa u	J-2 Flight (Se	ptember 15,	1972)	State Engineer 1967
	Heavy (acres)	Intermediate (acres)	Light (acres)	Total (acres)	Total (acres)
Provo Reservoir	1724	994	1133	3851	3712
Utah Lake Distributing	2024	651	1259	3934	4680
Utah and Salt Lake	1838	2222	2777	6837	8817
South Jordan North Jordan	1307 170	1597 194	989 290	3893 654	5710 2324

TABLE 2
CROP CONSUMPTIVE USES

Crop	Heavy to Intermediate 1972 NASA U-2/red & green (inch/year)	Light 1972 NASA U-2/yellow (inch/year)
Alfalfa	36.8	
Corn (silage)	26.4	
Grass (pasture)	32.0	
Sugar Beets	33.5	
Orchards		
Spring Grains		.21.4
Small Vegetables		20.0
Spring Wheat		17,1
Average	32.4	19.5

TABLE 3 COMPUTATION OF IRRIGATION WATER REQUIREMENTS

al District Irrigated Area(1) Consumptive Uses Requirement Use (acres) Leach. Requirement (acres) Minimum Water Requirement (acres) Reservoir 1 1133 1847 3062 12,48 Lake Distri- 1 2718 7339 9186 3092 12,548 and Salt Lake 1 2777 4527 7223 9275 3092 12,567 Jordan 1 989 1612 9453 3151 12,604 H f I 2904 7341 9455 3151 12,604 Jordan 1 g 1 364 983 1456 1,941			1	,					
rict Trrigated Area ⁽¹⁾ Consumptive Uses Requirement 75 Percent Irrigation Efficie 1135 1847 9186 76 I	•	Minimum Water Requirement (acre ft/yr)		12,248	12,367	20,652	12,604	1,941	
rict Irrigated A (acres) oir H & I 27 H & I 27 H & I 29 H & I 36		Leach. Requirement @ 33% Consumptive Use (acre ft/yr)	ency	3062	3092	5163	3151	485	
rict Irrigated A (acres) oir H & I 27 H & I 27 H & I 29 H & I 36		Consumptive Uses Requirement	Irrigation Efficie					•	-
vir stri-			75 Percent	L 1133 § I 2718	L 1250 I 2675	L 2777 § I 4060	L 989 § I 2904	L 290 § I 364	
Cau Prove Utah Utah North		Canal District		Provo Reservoir	Utah Lake Distri- buting	Utah and Salt Lake	South Jordan	North Jordan	

From Table I--NASA U-2 Flight Imagery Interpretation, 14 September 1972 From Table II--Light Irrigation, 1.63 ft/yr; Intermediate & Heavy, 2.70 ft/yr 99

TABLE 3 COMPUTATION OF IRRIGATION WATER REQUIREMENTS

Provo Reservoir	Irrigated Area ⁽¹⁾ (acres)	1 Area(1) 5) 62.5 Per 1133 2718	Consumptive Uses Requirement cent Irrigation Eff 1847 7339 9186	rea ⁽¹⁾ Consumptive Uses Leach Requirement 60% 62.5 Percent Irrigation Efficiency 33 1847 18 7339 9186	Leach. Requirement @ 60% Consumptive Use (acre ft/yr) ciency 5512	Minimum Water Requirement (acre ft/yr)	
Utah Lake Distri- buting	L & I	1259 2675	2052	9275	5565	14,840	
Utah and Salt Lake	T & I	2777 4060	4527 10,962 ^J	15489	9293	24,782	
South Jordan	H & F	989 2904	1612	9453	5672	15,125	·
North Jordan	Т ф Н ф I	290 364	473 983	1456	874	2,330	

From Table I--NASA U-2 Flight Imagery Interpretation, 14 September 1972 From Table II--Light Irrigation, 1.63 ft/yr; Intermediate & Heavy, 2.70 ft/yr 35

TABLE 3 COMPUTATION OF IRRIGATION WATER REQUIREMENTS

Leach. Requirement Minimum Water Requirement Use (acre ft/yr) (acre ft/yr)		9186 18,372	9275 18,550	15489 30,978	9453 18,906	1456 2,912
Leach. @100% C Use (a	iency					
Consumptive Uses Requirement	50 Percent Irrigation Efficiency	1847 7839 9186	2052 7223 9275	4527 10,962 15489	1612 7841 9453	473 983 1456
Irrigated Area ⁽¹⁾ (acres)	50 Percer	L 1133 H & I 2718	L 1259 H & I 2675	L 2777 H & I 4060	L 989 H & I 2904	L 290 H & I 364
Canal District		Provo Reservoir	Utah Lake Distri- buting	Utah and Salt Lake	South Jordan	North Jordan

From Table I--NASA U-2 Flight Imagery Interpretation, 14 September 1972 From Table II--Light Irrigation,1.63 ft/yr; Intermediate § Heavy, 2.70 ft/yr £

TABLE 4
CANAL EXCESS FLOWS

Excess Flow Percent Excess (acre ft/yr)	ifficiency	11,552.0 48.5	6,475.0 34.4	26,736.3 56.4	19.217.4 60.4	7,893.5	71,874.2
Minimum Water Requirement (acre ft/yr)	75 Percent Irrigation Efficiency	12,248.0	12,367.0	20,652.0	12,604.0	1,941.0	59,812.0
Canal Flow 1972 less 15% water lost (acre-ft)	75 Perc	23,800.0	18,842.0	47,388.3	51,821.4	9,834.5	131,686.5
Canal District		Provo Reservoir	Utah Lake Distri- buting	Utah and Salt Lake	South Jordan	North Jordan	Tota1

TABLE 4
CANAL EXCESS FLOWS

Canal District	Canal Flow 1972 less 15% water lost (acre-ft)	Minimum Water Requirement (acre ft/yr)	Excess Flow (acre ft/yr)	Percent Excess
	62.5 Per	62.5 Percent Irrigation Efficiency	ciency	
Provo Reservoir	23,800.0	14,698.0	9,102,0	38.2
Utah Lake Distri- huting	18,842.0	14,840.0	4,002.0	21.2
Utah and Salt jake	47,388.3	24,782,0	22,606.3	47.7
South Jordan	31,821.4	15,125.0	16,696,4	52.5
North Jordan	8,834.5	2,330.0	7,504.5	76.3
Tota1	131,686.5	71,775.0	59,911.2	

TABLE 4
CANAL EXCESS FLOWS

Canal Wistrict	Canal Flow 1972 less 15% Water lost (acre-ft)	Minimum Water Requirement (acre ft/yr)	Excess Flow (acre ft/yr)	Percent Excess
	50 Perce	50 Percent Irrigation Efficiency	ency	
Provo Reservoir	23,800.0	18,372.0	5,428.0	22.8
Utah Lake Distri- buting	18,842.0	18,550.0	292.0	7.
Utah and Salt Lake	47,388.3	30,978.0	16,410.3	34.6
South Jordan	31,821.4	18,906.0	12,915.4	40.6
North Jordan	9,834.5	2,912.0	6,922.5	70.4
Total	131,686.5	89,718.0	41,968.2	

TABLE 5

SALT LAKE COUNTY IRRIGATION RETURN FLOWS (Preliminary list)

- 1. Rose Creek (as it crosses all major canals)
- 2. 3300 ft. south of 12600 South on Utah & Salt Lake Canal
- 3. 2300 ft. south of 12600 South on Utah & Salt Lake Canal
- 4. 320 ft. south of 12600 South on Utah & Salt Lake Canal
- 5. 1000 ft. north of 11800 South on Utah & Salt Lake Canal
- 6. 1920 ft. north of 7000 South on Utah & Salt Lake Canal
- 7. 850 ft. south of 7000 South on Utah & Salt Lake Canal
- 8. 1650 ft. south of 7000 South on Utah & Salt Lake Canal
- 9. 2300 ft. south of 7000 South on South Jordan Canal
- 10. 1300 ft. south of 7000 South on South Jordan Canal
- 11. 1500 ft. north of 7000 South on South Jordan Canal
- 12. Across Utah & Salt Lake Canal at 3600 West just north of 5400 South
- 13. 1200 ft. south of 10400 South and 850 ft. east of 2200 West on Utah & Salt Lake Canal
- 14. 1825 ft. east of 1700 West and 3200 ft. north of 10400 South on the South Jordan Canal
- 15. 3400 ft. south of 7800 South and 2650 ft. east of 2700 West on the South Jordan Canal
- 16. 4000 ft. south of 5400 South and 1650 ft west to Redwood Road on South Jordan Canal
- 17. 1200 ft. south of 5400 South and 1200 ft. east of 2700 West on South Jordan Canal
- 18. 700 ft. west of 3600 West and 600 ft. south of 4400 South on South Jordan Canal
- 19. 400 ft. west of 3200 West and 1300 ft. south of 4700 South on South Jordan Canal
- 20. South Jordan Canal ends at 4000 West and irrigation water drops into the Kearns/Chesterfield storm drain

TABLE 5 (cont'd)

- 21. Bingham Creek
- 22. 600 ft. north of the North Jordan diversion from the Jordan River on the North Jordan Canal
- 23. 1300 ft. north of 6200 South and 2200 ft. east of 1700 West on North Jordan Canal
- 24. 2500 ft. east of 1700 West and 1400 ft. south of 5400 South on North Jordan Canal
- 25. 1000 ft. north of 5400 South and 2300 ft. east of 1700 West on North Jordan Canal
- 26. 800 ft. south of 7800 South on the Jordan River
- 27. 6200 ft, south on the Jordan River
- 28. 2000 ft, north of 6200 South on the Jordan River
- 29. 1000 ft. north of Bullion Street on the Jordan River
- 30. 200 ft. south of 4800 South on the Jordan River-Barneys Creek
- 31. 300 ft. north of 4800 South on the Jordan River
- 32. 1000 ft. south of 4800 South on the Jordan River
- 33. Combination storm drain, irrigation return flow at 4800 South from the Jordan River

TABLE 6
SURVEILLANCE OF SALT LAKE COUNTY IRRIGATION CANALS

Description
Storm Drain
Residential Area
Residential Area Storm drain Storm Drain Storm Drain
Residential Area-east Cultivated Area-west Cultivated Area 3 Storm Drains 2 Gutter Drainages
Residential Area Storm Drain Storm Drain 2 Storm Drains
Cultivated Land
Cultivated Land
Residential Area Storm Drain
Cultivated Land
Residential Area Storm Drain
Cultivated Land

^{*} Elbow in canal, so it flows northwest. Numbering system switches to west coordinates.

TABLE 6 (cont'd)

Location	Description
2300 West to 2000 West	Residential Area
2260 West and 6130 South	Storm Drain
2230 West and 6170 South	Storm Drain
2200 West and 6245 South	2 Gutter Drainages
2100 West and 6300 South	Storm Drain
2050 West and 6340 South	Storm Drain
2700 West to 2300 West	Cultivated Lands-south
	Residential area-north
2350 West and 6040 South	Storm Drain
2550 HOSC MAG VOYO BOKKI	Storm Promise
3200 West to 2700 West	Residential Area
2760 West and 5780 South	Storm Drain
2700 West to 2300 West	Storm Drain
3780 West to 3200 West	Residential Area
	Storm Drain
3685 West and 4930 South	2 Storm Drains
3600 West and 4995 South	
3580 West and 5000 South	2 Storm Drains
3500 West and 5100 South	Storm Drain
3450 West and 5160 South	Storm Drain
3400 West and 5200 South	Storm Drain
3325 West and 5275 South	Storm Drain
3280 West and 5325 South	Storm Drain
4000 West to 3780 West	Residential Area-south
4000 Nest to 3/80 Nest	Cultivated lands-north
	Carelyacoa Ialas Mara
4150 West to 4000 West	Commercial Area
4060 West and 4700 South	Storm Drain
4000 West and 4740 South	Storm Drain
1000 11000 0000 17 10 000000	
4300 West to 4150 West	Residential Area
4540 West to 4300 West	Residential Area-south
	Cultivated Lands-north
4360 West and 4590 South	Storm Drain
4320 West and 4600 South	Storm Drain
TOBO HODE WILL TOO COUNT	
·	

TABLE 6 (cont'd)

Location	Description
4800 West to 4540 West 4540 West and 4530 South	Residential Area Storm Drain
5050 West to 4800 West 5000 West and 4300 South 4910 West and 4325 South	Cultivated Lands-south Residential Areas-north Storm Drain Storm Drain
4800 West and 4400 South	2 Storm Drains
5300 West to 5050 West	Cultivated Lands
5500 West to 5300 West 5565 West and 4130 South 5530 West and 4150 South 5480 West and 4180 South 5400 West and 4200 South 6200 West to 5600 West	Residential Area-south Cultivated Lands-north Storm Drain Storm Drain Storm Drain Storm Drain Storm Drain Cultivated Lands
6400 West to 6200 West	Residential Area-south Cultivated Lands-north
6500 West to 6400 West 6400 West to 3950 South	Cultivate Lands Gutter Drainage
6580 West to 6500 West	Cultivated Lands-south Residential area-north
6800 West to 6580 West	Cultivated Lands
7200 West to 6800 West	Cultivated Lands-south Residential Area-north
8850 West to 7200 West	Cultivated Lands
8920 West to 8850 West	Residential Area
9200 West to 8920 West	Cultivated Lands
Kennecott Copper Corporation Land	Canal runs to Kennecott Smelter and is used for dust settling purposes

TABLE 6 (cont'd)

Location	Description
Provo Reservoir Canal	
Enters Salt Lake County above Jordan Narrows to terminus point near 9400 South	Cultivated Lands
Utah Lake Distributing Canal	
Enters Salt Lake County above Jordan Narrows to 12600 South	Cultivated Lands
12600 South to 12200 South	Residential Area-west Cultivated Lands-east
12200 South to 7000 South	Primarily cultivated areas with small residential areas at 10700, 10400 and 8600 South Streets
7000 South to 6250 South	Residential Area-east Cultivated Land-west
6250 South to 6200 South	Cultivated Land
6200 South to 5850 South	Residential Area
5850 South to 5300 South	Cultivated Land
5300 South to 4700 South	Residential and Commercial Areas
4700 South to terminus point near 4100 South	Cultivated Land
ı İ	

TABLE 6 (cont'd)

Location	Description
South Jordan Canal	•
Diversion at Jordan Narrows to 12800 South	Cultivated Land
12800 South to 12500 South	Cultivated Land-east Residential area-west
12500 South to 8200 South	Cultivated Land
8200 South to 7400 South	Residential Areas
7400 South to 7000 South	Cultivated Lands
7000 South to 6850 South	Residential Areas
6850 South to 6600 South	Cultivated Lands
*1600 West to 1700 West	Residential Area-east Cultivated Land-west
1700 West to 1850 West	Cultivated Land-east Residential Area-west
1850 West to 2450 West	Cultivated Land
2450 West to 2700 West	Cultivated Land-east Residential Area-west
2700 West to 3200 West	Cultivated Land
3200 West to 4600 West	Residential Area
4600 West to terminus point near 4800 West	Cultivated Land
i	ł

^{*} Elbow in canal. Canal flows northwest; numbering system switches to west coordinates.

TABLE 6 (cont'd)

Location	Description
North Jordan Canal	
Diversion near 9200 South to 5600 South	Cultivated Lands
5600 South to entrance into Riter Canal near 3000 South	Residential or commercial area with a few irrigated lands interspersed.
3000 South to 2900 South	Riter Canal Flows through residential area
Kennecott Copper Corporation Land	Flow used for flotation purposes at Kennecott Smelter.
·	·

REFERENCES

- 1. Utah Lake and Jordan River Adjudication, Office of State Engineer, State of Utah, 1967.
- 2. Gardner, Brad, "Utah Lake and Jordan River Water Distribution," Utah State Engineer, 1972.
- 3. Irrigated Crop Consumptive Use Index, U. S. Department of Agriculture and the Soil Conservation Service, 1967.

