The meeting was called to order by COG Chair Jenny Wilson. Chair Wilson welcomed the body, particularly the new COG members and representatives from the townships. She opened the floor for public comment, but there was none. COG Vice Chair Mayor Silvestrini announced the Golden Spoke event on June 2, 2018 commemorating the completion of over 100 miles of protected bike paths running from Ogden to Provo.

1 – Minutes for the January 25, 2018 meeting were not available for approval. This action was suspended to a later date.

2 – County Corridor Preservation Fund. Helen Peters passed around a flyer indicating that the Application deadline for Salt Lake County Transportation Corridor Preservation Fund is July 13, 2018. The purpose of the Fund is to help cities acquire properties to preserve transportation corridors and is funded by a portion of the motor vehicle tax. The website listed on the flyer provides more information and Ms. Peters encourages city engineers to visit the website and call to speak with her prior to application. Each month the fund receives about $220,000 from the State Tax Commission, so this round of applications will be for approximately $1.5 mil.

3 – Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) Amendment number 6. Jory Johner from Wasatch Front Regional Council reminded COG members that there would be a Regional Council meeting following the current COG meeting. At the Regional Council meeting, members would be asked to endorse the Wasatch Choice 2050 Vision. The discussion item for the current COG meeting deals with an amendment to the 2015-2040 Regional Transportation Plan. WFRC works on a four-year cycle to create a Regional Transportation Plan and Vision. WFRC has recently been working with all communities, UDOT, and UTA to establish a 2019-2050 Regional Transportation Plan and the Wasatch Choice 2050 Vision. The 2050 Vision to be endorsed in the Regional Council meeting later in the day includes, land use, regional land use centers, job areas, and transportation projects. WFRC is anticipating an adoption date of May 2019. Periodically, amendments need to be made to the currently adopted RTP (adopted in May of 2015). Amendment 6 to the current RTP is the item being presented at this COG meeting. Mr. Johner explained the amendment process as outlined on the WFRC website. Mr. Johner reviewed the six projects included in Amendment 6 of the 2015-2040 RTP as described in the attached amendment. Each of the six proposed projects have been reviewed and measured against the Wasatch Choice 2050 goals. The next steps in the process of approving Amendment 6 include presenting to the Weber County COG, a public comment period from June 30 –
August 4, Regional Growth Committee on 16 August, and then Regional Council meeting on August 23, 2018. There were no questions from the Council.

4 – Discussion on SB136. Wilf Sommerkorn distributed a handout outlining three transportation elements of the new bill SB136.

First, Mr. Sommerkorn gave some explanation of the new Transit Board established by SB136. The bill will do away with the existing UTA Board and establish a 3 member professional governing board with board members recommended by the counties and appointed by the governor. Salt Lake County is in the process of screening candidates to recommend to the County Council. The Mayor will then send the recommendations to the governor. The governor will choose the appointees by August 31, and they will begin duties on or before November 1, 2018 at which point the existing UTA Board is dissolved.

Second, Mr. Sommerkorn explained the County Highway Fund Advisory Committee (referred to in the handout as the County Transportation Advisory Board). Mr. Sommerkorn reminded COG members that there is a fund called Counties of the First Class Highway Fund. This fund receives funding from the 3rd quarter percent sales tax approved previously and additional funding as available. The new bill now requires that an advisory board be created to give recommendations to the County on how this fund should be spent, although the discretion still lies with the County. The advisory committee will advise the County based on criteria that is currently being established and will be set by ordinance. Details of this advisory board are found on the handout given by Mr. Sommerkorn. Some members of the COG may serve as members of this advisory committee if appointed by the mayor and County Council.

Third, Mr. Sommerkorn presented details about the UTA Local Advisory Board, as outlined on the handout. This board will include 3 members appointed by the COG. This is the new opportunity for local elected officials to have some advisory influence with the UTA. Matt Sibul of UTA shared a handout with additional information about this board. Board makeup, general duties and specific responsibilities of the Advisory Board are outlined in the handout. By the August 23rd COG meeting, members should have an idea of who would like to serve on this board. Chair Wilson proposed that COG members express their interest in serving before the next COG meeting in August and the officers of COG meet and review the names in order to make a recommendation to the body at the next meeting. Mayor McAdams added that the County will be happy to staff and do leg work for the Advisory Board, but because the County has a formal role in the appointment of the 3-member governing board of trustees, the County would take their lead from the rest of the COG members regarding these appointments. Chair Wilson and Mr. Sommerkorn pointed out that there is an option to appoint board members from the community, rather than elected officials, if that is of interest to the COG. The body engaged in a discussion about term length and limits. Mayor McAdams and others suggested following the process currently set in place for appointing COG members to other committees. Mayor Bigelow suggested an option for appointing alternates and encouraged all COG members to attend meetings in order to stay informed. Multiple members expressed interest in making sure that there is regional representation among the three appointed members of the Advisory Board. At the conclusion of the discussion a motion was made that thoroughly summarizes the dialogue.
Mayor Watts of Herriman made a motion “that the COG direct [the] process of appointing three members from the COG as elected officials, with the intent of having some regional diversity among those three, and start that process with the intent of actually approving those at [the] August meeting.” Mayor Bigelow of West Valley City seconded the motion. All voted in favor.

5 – COG membership for Township Mayors. A proposed amendment to the Articles of Association of the Salt Lake County Council of Governments was presented to the body which changes language from “city or town” to “municipality” which would allow metro township mayors to be included on the COG Executive Committee as voting members. Chair Wilson began the discussion regarding metro township membership in COG. The newly designated township mayors would like to participate in COG as full voting members. Mayor McAdams expressed agreement that the townships should be at the table for the discussions and decisions that take place in COG meetings to provide their voice in what happens regionally and as it affects their local municipalities. Mayor McAdams gave a historical overview of how the townships came to be and the philosophy that the county government should be involved primarily in regional government and allow local municipalities to make decisions for themselves and represent themselves on regional issues. The townships were created under the Community Preservation Act. They are incorporated under title 10 and look and function a lot like cities. Mayor McAdams further explained that the role of the County is not diminished by the creation of the townships. Prior to incorporation of the townships the County spent about 10% of the budget on service to those areas. Now the County is able to dedicate 100% of the budget and effort to providing good regional government and coordinating and integrating issues that transcend city borders. Mayor McAdams expressed that the County tries to be respectful about where the County's role ends but do better where local municipalities need County support. Mayor McAdams stressed that this issue is not about which entities have the most power but about inclusion and productive conversation. Paulina Flint of White City spoke to the true independence that the metro townships enjoy and hoped to clear up misconceptions that the MSD is the administrative arm of the townships. Ms. Flint explained that the townships merely contract with the MSD for services provided just as other municipalities contract out for some of their services.

Mayor Walker moved to approve the Articles of Organization of the Salt Lake County Council of Government as presented with the amendment. Mayor Silvestrini seconded the motion.

Comments to the motion were given by Mayor Sondak who stated that he is not opposed to the changes being made to the Articles of Organization through the proposed amendment, but he was under the impression that as part of the discussion of the amendment, some consideration would be given to the role of the County on the COG. Mayor Bigelow expressed concern about the level of control by the County versus the cities and feels that a discussion on the County's role on the COG is important. Mayor McAdams stated that there is no need to decrease the role of the County just because additional municipalities are being added to the COG. Mayor McAdams also reminded the body that the majority of business conducted by the COG has to subsequently be passed by the County Council and Mayor, suggesting that it is beneficial to have more representation from those governing entities sitting on the COG and participating in the early stages of decision making. If any changes were to be made to the makeup of the COG, Mayor McAdams would advocate for more County
representation rather than less. Art Barker General Manager of the Greater Salt Lake Municipal Services District (MSD) clarified that the County has zero control over the budgets of the metro townships or the MSD. The MSD is run by the majority vote of the metro township mayors as well as one county council member representing the unincorporated areas of Salt Lake. The County has no oversight over the six budgets of the metro townships and no control over their actions or agendas. Mayor Bigelow suggested that, in the interest of time and in order to provide opportunity for more robust discussion on the issue of County representation, the body vote on the amendment and also vote to add further discussion to the agenda of the next COG meeting. Chair Wilson encouraged the body to continue the discussion as needed in the current meeting rather than putting off further discussion for the August meeting. Mayor Watts requested to see the entirety of the section of the Articles of Association being amended (section F). Chair Wilson read the missing portion of section F to the body and Wilf Sommerkorn provided a few copies for those who were interested.

Mayor Silvestrini agreed with others that the two issues (township membership and County membership on the COG) should be bifurcated and therefore called for the question on the previously stated motion to approve the amendment as presented. All, with the exception of Mayor Watts, voted in favor of the amendment.

Mayor Silvestrini moved to include in the August 23rd agenda a robust discussion about the County’s role in COG. Mayor Watts seconded the motion.

Chair Wilson commented on the motion that she feels this issue could be settled during the current meeting. She commented on the usefulness of the COG to her and Councilmember Jensen in gaining an understanding of the issues facing each of the cities and having this opportunity to work through issues with the cities in this forum. Mayor Silvestrini and Mayor McAdams also commented on the motion expressing support for the County to maintain its current level of representation on the COG because of the benefits previously stated, but also expressing support for continued discussion on the issue to give all interested parties the opportunity to express their opinions.

Mayor Bigelow called for the question and the vote was unanimous. The vote on the motion was unanimous with the exception of Mayor Walker.

Mayor Watts commented on his no vote against the Articles of Association amendment. He clarified that he was not voting against the townships joining the COG, but he felt that both the discussion regarding townships and the discussion regarding the County role in COG should have happened before the vote on the amendment.

Paulina Flint made the point that it can be difficult to lobby a nine-member County Council, but with 2 members of the Council on COG as well as the Mayor, COG members have the advantage of having access to a larger audience when attempting to sway the Council to support their requests for funding.

6 – Building Permits for Assessor information. Kevin Jacobs, Salt Lake County Assessor explained the importance of submitting building permits to the County. Each month the County requests building permits from the cities because the permits tell the assessor where to go to assess new buildings which
in turn provide new growth revenue to cities. The County needs building permits from the cities so cities can get new growth revenue. New growth last year was $2.5 billion and the assessor wants to make sure that each city receives its portion. Chair Wilson asked how the process of submitting building permits should work. Assessor Jacobs stated that a digital report or Excel file is the preferred method of transmitting the information.

The meeting adjourned at 1:30pm.

COG Members Present
Cherie Wood – South Salt Lake
Harris Sondak – Alta
Kelly Bush – Kearns
Zack Jacob – West Jordan
Ben McAdams – Salt Lake County
David Watts – Herriman
Derk Timothy – Bluffdale
Mike Peterson – Cottonwood Heights
Blair Camp – Murray
Paulina Flint – White City
Joe Smolka – Emigration
Kristie Overson - Taylorsville

Trent Staggs – Riverton
Jeff Silvestrini – Millcreek
Jenny Wilson – Salt Lake County
Ron Bigelow – West Valley
Sean Clayton – Copperton
Michael Jensen – Salt Lake County
Troy Walker – Draper
David Litvack – Salt Lake City
Dawn Ramsey – South Jordan City
Kris Nicholl – Sandy City
Robert Hale – Midvale

Other Participants
Wilf Sommerkorn – Salt Lake County
Helen Peters – Salt Lake County
Jana Ostler – Salt Lake County
Jory Johner – WFRC

Kevin Jacobs – Salt Lake County Assessor
Matt Sibul – UTA
Bart Barker - MSD
Eligible Projects:
All projects within phases one, two, and three of the current RTP have been approved by COG for property acquisitions to be funded from the Corridor Preservation Fund.

Application material, prioritization criteria, a sample letter from the city requesting funds and other helpful information can be found on our website www.slco.org/planning-transportation

For more information, contact:
Transportation Program Manager, Helen Peters | 385.468.4860 | hpeters@slco.org
RTP And Amendment Process Overview

- RTP is updated every four years
  - Recently adopted May 2015
- Periodic adjustments are needed between adoption cycles
- WFRC’s RTP amendment process
  - Financial constraints
  - Public review and input
  - Modeling and Air quality conformity
- Proposed requests reviewed annually beginning in March
RTP And Amendment Process Overview

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION PLAN AMENDMENT PROCESS

1. Receive and WFRC Staff Review of Request
2. WFRC Staff Determines Level of Amendment
   - Level 1: Staff Modification
     - WFRC Executive Director Approval per adopted procedure
   - Level 2: Board Modification for Non-Regionally Significant Projects
     - TAC Review and Recommendation to RGC
     - RGC Review and Release for Public Comment
   - Level 3: Full Amendment for Regionally Significant Projects
     - Air Quality Conformity Determination
RTP And Amendment Process Overview

1. Notification to County COG
2. 30-day Public Comment Period
3. WFRC Staff Review of Comments and Recommendation
4. Are there Regionally Significant Changes from the Comment Period?
   - Yes: RGC Review Staff Recommendation for Modification and New Public Comment Period
   - No: RGC Review Staff Recommendation for WFRC Approval and Website Update
5. WFRC Review and Approval
Level 3 Request

1-15 – UDOT

Scope:

- Widening of one additional general purpose lane northbound on I-15 from Bangerter Highway to I-215.
- New Project to the RTP - Phase 1.

Benefits:

- The additional lane is needed to ensure the safe movement of autos as they change lanes along I-15.
- The additional lane will be part of the planned I-15 connector / distributor system.

Funding Source:

This project is funded through the Transportation Investment Fund.

Cost:

$135 Million
Scope:

- The widening of 4700 South to 5 lanes from 4000 West to 5600 West.
- New construction – Move from Phase 2 to Phase 1.

Benefits:

- Capacity improvement for east / west traffic flow.
- Two lanes in each direction with a center turn lane.
- Uniform geometrics and horizontal geometry. Improvements to steep 12 percent cross slopes.
- Four foot shoulder and bicycle lane are also planned.
- Project is being developed multi-jurisdictionally.

Funding Source:

Possible local and STP funds.

Cost:

$15 Million
Level 2 Request
14600 South – Bluffdale City

Scope:
• Operational improvements on 14600 South from Redwood Road to Porter Rockwell Blvd.
• Redefine the project from widening to operational improvements and a new operational segment.
• Modified project – Move from unfunded to Phase 1.

Benefits:
• Major collector that connects Redwood Road to I-15 Freeway at 14600 South interchange.
• Will include center turn lanes, bicycle, and pedestrian elements.
• Links communities and commuters, along with recreational traffic.
• Improvements to existing geometric configuration and improved safety.

Funding Source:
Possible Salt Lake County preservation, STP, and local funds.

Cost:
$6.12 million
Level 2 Request
700 South – Salt Lake City

Scope:
- New construction of the 700 South railroad bridge near 4800 West.
- New construction of 700 South from 5600 West to approximately 5300 West.
- New Project to the RTP - Phase 1.

Benefits:
- A new bridge on 700 South and 4800 West will improve safety for both automobiles and trains.
- No future stopping of auto traffic by train traffic that has come to a complete stop.
- Realignment of 700 South will move the intersection approximately 400 feet to the north improving sight lines and safety.

Funding Source:
Possible UP Railroad, Salt Lake City, Salt Lake County, or other grant funds.

Cost:
$21.3 million
Level 2 Request
5500 West – Hooper City

Scope:
- Operational improvements on 5500 West from 3500 South to 5500 South.
- Functional classification change to Major Collector.
- New Project to the RTP - Phase 1.

Benefits:
- Widening of a country roadway from two narrow travel lanes to 12-foot lanes.
- Widening will also include 9-foot paved shoulders and curb, gutter, and sidewalk.
- This facility will help address near and future traffic and safety concerns in a growing area of the City.

Funding Source:
Possible Local and STP funding sources.

Cost:
$3.9 million
Level 2 Request

2800 North / North Plain City Rd. – Plain City

Scope:

- Operational improvements on 2800 North and North Plain City Road from 4200 West to SR-126.
- Functional classification change to a Minor Collector.
- New Project to the RTP - Phase 1.

Benefits:

- This project will provide for increased safety with the addition of shoulder and the reconfiguration of the street cross-section.
- Center turn-lane at intersections will improve mobility and traffic flow.
- Project is being developed in close cooperation with Farr West City.

Funding Source:

Possible local and STP funds.

Cost:

$7.4 Million
# Technical Considerations for Level 3 Requests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Safety Index</th>
<th>Vehicle Hours Traveled</th>
<th>Project Readiness</th>
<th>Support WC2050</th>
<th>Connections to Clusters</th>
<th>Job and Education Training (ATO)</th>
<th>Multimodal Transportation Choices</th>
<th>Vulnerable Communities</th>
<th>Air Quality Conformity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I-15 North Bound UDOT</td>
<td><strong>7.5 of 10</strong></td>
<td>NB 1,403,430 Build 1,393,320 -10,110</td>
<td>Preliminary engineering complete. Some right-of-way will need to be acquired.</td>
<td>Supports Village and Town Centers near I-15.</td>
<td>Economic Clusters include Energy, Finance Service, Life Sciences, Software and IT.</td>
<td>Draper Crossing, SLCC Miller Campus, Sandy Downtown, South Town Mall and Auto Mall.</td>
<td>Within Proximity to UTA Front Runner service and east/west Core Bus Routes.</td>
<td>Impacts Vulnerable Communities</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4700 South West Valley City</td>
<td><strong>6 of 10</strong></td>
<td>NB Build 893,930 890,330 -3,600</td>
<td>Preliminary engineering complete. Some right-of-way will need to be acquired. EIS approved.</td>
<td>Supports a Village Center at 5600 West.</td>
<td>None.</td>
<td>Mostly residential land use with some small commercial businesses located at intersections.</td>
<td>Supports Core Bus Route on 5600 West. Proposed bicycle route.</td>
<td>Impacts Vulnerable Communities</td>
<td>Required</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Technical Considerations for Level 2 Requests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Project</th>
<th>Safety Index</th>
<th>Vehicle Hours Traveled</th>
<th>Connectivity</th>
<th>Project Readiness</th>
<th>Support WC2050</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14600 South Bluffdale City</td>
<td>4 of 10</td>
<td>No Build 192,740 VHT</td>
<td>Connects Redwood Road with I-15 at 14600 South.</td>
<td>Preliminary engineering complete. Some right-of-way will need to be acquired.</td>
<td>Connection to Jordan River Parkway and Urban Center in Draper City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>700 South Salt Lake City</td>
<td>3 of 10</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>East / West connectivity will improve with new bridge.</td>
<td>Preliminary engineering. Some right-of-way will need to be acquired.</td>
<td>Connection to Industrial Area in Salt Lake City.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5500 West Hooper City</td>
<td>2 of 10</td>
<td>No Build 50,460 VHT</td>
<td>Connects North / South portions of Hooper City.</td>
<td>Preliminary engineering completed. No right-of-way needed.</td>
<td>Passes near Village Center in Hooper City</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2800 North / North Plain City Road</td>
<td>1.5 of 10</td>
<td>No Build 44,950 VHT</td>
<td>Connects Plain City to SR-126.</td>
<td>No engineering completed to date. Some additional right-of-way will need to be acquired.</td>
<td>Terminates near Industrial Center between I-15 and US-89.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Air quality conformity not required for level 2 requests.
Next Steps - Amendment 6

- **Review by Councils of Government**
  - Weber County COG - June 4

- **30-Day Public Review and Comment Period**
  - June 30 through August 4

- **Review by Regional Growth Committee**
  - August 16

- **Adopted by the Wasatch Front Regional Council**
  - August 23
2015-2040 RTP
Amendment 6
Presentation to Salt Lake COG

May 24, 2018
1. **Nomination of UTA Board of Trustees member representing Salt Lake County.** The applications deadline has passed and the vetting process has begun. Mayor McAdams, along with the advice and consent of the County Council, will forward two names to the Governor by July 31st for selection. First day on the job will be November 1st.

2. **County Transportation Advisory Board.** The County will establish a County Transportation Advisory Board that will review proposed transportation projects and, as applicable, public transit projects and rank projects for allocation of funds. The County shall establish by ordinance criteria for prioritization and ranking of projects, which may include consideration of regional and countywide economic development impacts, including improved local access to:

- Employment
- Recreation
- Commerce; and
- Recreational areas

The composition of the County Transportation Advisory Board includes 13 members:

- Six (6) individuals who are residents of Salt Lake County, nominated by the Mayor with the advice and consent of the County Council who are: members of the UTA/Transit District Utah local advisory board or County Council members or other County residents with expertise in transportation planning and funding.

And

- Seven (7) members who are nominated by the Mayor with the advice and consent of the County Council who are mayors or managers of cities or towns within Salt Lake County.

It is estimated that the County Transportation Advisory Board will distribute approximately $11M annually that will be generated by the third quarter of one percent sales tax.

3. **Appointment of Three (3) members to the UTA Local Advisory Board.** Appointment by the Council of Governments (COG) of three members to the UTA local advisory board who will:
   
   - Set the compensation packages of the boards of trustees
   - Review approval and recommend final adoption of the board of trustees service plans at least every 2.5 years; project development plans, including funding, of all new capital development projects; plan for transit-oriented development plans; engage with the safety and security team to ensure coordination with local municipalities and counties; assist with coordinated mobility and constituent services, represent and advocate for the concerns of residents within the public transit district to the board of trustees, and other duties as identified in the State Code.
Overview of UTA Local Advisory Board

DRAFT: Revised May 10, 2018

Appointing Process

- Nine total appointments; mostly from COGs:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Salt Lake COG</th>
<th>Utah COG</th>
<th>Davis COG</th>
<th>Weber COG</th>
<th>Tooele and Box Elder</th>
<th>Salt Lake City</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Appointments to be made on or before Nov. 1, 2018
- No term limits or other recall process established

Duties and Responsibilities

General Duties

- Meet at least quarterly; take public comment on transit service, operations, and general organizational concerns.
- Represent and advocate the concerns of citizens within the transit district to the UTA Board.

Specific Responsibilities

- Set the compensation package for the UTA Board.
- Consult with the UTA Board and advise on the following topics:
  1. General operation and management issues
  2. Annual budget and the issuance of bonds and other financial instruments and fund investments under the Money Management Act
  3. Development of a Strategic Plan, at least every four years
  4. Direction to the internal auditor to conduct audits, and receive audit reports
  5. Development and approval of board policies, ordinances and bylaws
  6. Funding opportunities for transit capital and service initiatives
- Review, approve, and recommend final UTA Board adoption of:
  1. Transit service plans (at least every 2 ½ years to coincide with MPO Regional Transportation Plans)
  2. Transit project development plans, including funding, for all new capital development projects
  3. TOD projects, initiatives, and property acquisitions/dispositions
- Engage at least annually with UTA safety and security team to ensure coordination between UTA and local police.
- Assist with UTA coordinated mobility and constituent services.
ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION OF THE  
SALT LAKE COUNTY COUNCIL OF GOVERNMENTS  

WHEREAS, the mutual interests of the municipalities, and of Salt Lake County require  
the creation of a council of governments, and  

WHEREAS, the Inter-local Cooperation Act as set forth in Title II, Chapter 13, Utah  
Code Annotated, authorizes local governments to create such councils.  

NOW, THEREFORE, Be It Resolved that a council of governments, hereinafter called  
the "Council" be formed within Salt Lake County, with purposes, membership, powers and duties  
as hereinafter set forth.  

PREAMBLE  

In order to carry out the responsibilities and duties of local government in Salt Lake  
County more fully, certain fundamental principles and assumptions are recognized:  

1. Each unit of government which becomes affiliated with the Council will use its  
own resources to the maximum extent possible and will combine its efforts with other units of  
government to accomplish only things which it cannot do alone, or which cannot be done alone as  
efficiently and economically as when acting cooperatively.  

2. Each unit of government will retain its independence and right of choice.  

3. Instead of diminishing the rights and prerogatives of individual units of  
government in Salt Lake County, the creation of the Council will have the effect of increasing  
and strengthening their rights and prerogatives through the ability of each to accomplish things  
cooperatively which it cannot do by itself.  

ARTICLE I  

PURPOSES  

The Salt Lake County Council of Governments is organized:  

A. To provide a forum for discussion and study of metropolitan area problems of  
mutual interest and concern to Salt Lake County and the cities and towns.  

B. To promote a spirit of cooperation among all governmental units within Salt  
Lake County.  

C. To achieve advantages and economies through cooperative action that cannot be  
achieved individually, while retaining the rights and prerogatives inherent to each member unit of  
government.  

D. To serve as a reviewing and policy making body with respect to proposals of  
both public and private agencies.
E. To promote the development of comprehensive plans for proper growth and development of the county and municipalities within the county, including the coordination, administration and operation of planning programs and studies.

As amended:

May 6, 2010
March 7, 2002       July 6, 1989       April, 2010
November 13, 2000   January, 1982
December 12, 1997   April, 1976

F. To agree upon mutually desirable policies and consensuses and develop cooperative mechanisms among local governments for improving the administration of public services.

G. To cooperate with other governmental or public agencies or councils of local governments in the solution of regional problems.

H. To perform any duties statutorily delegated to the Council or agreed to by the Council upon approval of the Council Executive Committee

ARTICLE II
DEFINITIONS

A. Metropolitan Problem shall mean a problem that is common to two or more governmental units, the solution of which evidently will not or cannot be achieved by governmental agencies acting independently of each other, or which cannot be achieved as economically as when acting cooperatively.

B. Regional Problem shall mean a problem that meets all the criteria of a metropolitan problem, except that the geographic area includes more than Salt Lake County.

C. Council shall mean the cooperative body of official representatives of municipalities, and the county, as established by these Articles of Association.

D. Officers shall mean the president, vice-president, secretary-treasurer, and immediate past-president whose election qualifications, and terms of office shall be as set forth in Article IX of this document.

E. General Assembly shall mean a meeting of Salt Lake County mayors, city councils, county executive officer (mayor), and county council members.

F. Executive Committee shall be comprised of the Mayor of any city or town municipality, and the Mayor of Salt Lake County, or their delegated alternate. Cities of the first class shall be entitled to two positions on the Executive Committee, one of whom shall be the Mayor or their delegated alternate and the other shall be an elected city council member or their delegated alternate. Salt Lake County shall be entitled to three positions on the Executive Committee, one of whom shall be the County Executive Officer (Mayor) or their designated