

## Q5 What are your concerns regarding the proposed Olympia Hills development?

Answered: 329 Skipped: 0

#	RESPONSES	DATE
1	Traffic, raised taxes, lower home values.	6/18/2018 5:05 PM
2	There is too much traffic and not enough roads. Do not build here please!	6/16/2018 2:26 PM
3	If the event of a major disaster such as the BIG long awaited earthquake, Herriman is set to become the safehaven. By having that many people in the city we will barely be able to care for our own residents let alone become a help to the other residents. Herriman currently is one the first city's to loose water in such an event. We do not have enough roads, water, or other infrastructures to support such a large addition to our city...even if it becomes its own. No to mention the crime that adding so many additional people will bring.	6/16/2018 9:30 AM
4	The over crowding roads into herriman. There are only two roads in and it is already impossible to cross any of them. If you double the population out there, we will have over crowded everything!! No Olympia hills!	6/15/2018 10:12 PM
5	I'm very concerned about creating another large development that is mostly full of high-density housing. Doing this will clearly create a lot more traffic through 134th South and 126th South and those streets are already getting very congested heading west. Before we look at creating any more large developments we need to make sure that we have the infrastructure in place to support it. I'm not naive and I understand that Utah is growing and that we have more people moving here and that we need to create more housing, however if we don't do it the right way it's just going to cause a lot of headaches and problems in the future. Let's be smart about how we approach it so that Utah will still be a great place for people to live.	6/15/2018 8:01 PM
6	I have many concerns, the biggest is schools. The middle school my students attend is already at least 500 students over capacity. Changes are coming, but not quickly enough and they don't support the growth this project will bring.	6/15/2018 6:13 PM
7	The roads can't handle more traffic. Every road that leads to the planned site narrows to one lane each way, no turn lane and in most cases no sidewalks or curb and gutter. Our children walk to school and the bus stop on a 35 MPH road with no sidewalk. Add 30,000 people and the cars that come with them, the roads will be virtually impossible.	6/15/2018 5:28 PM
8	Primarily traffic and infrastructure. I'm a lifelong resident of Riverton and I've seen how the sudden growth of Herriman and Riverton's west side has impacted the roads, in particular 126th South, and the thought of another 30K people cramming into that road, as well as 134th, is frankly horrifying. They already feel like they're at capacity, and widening them out to 7- or 8-lane highways is undesirable. There is nothing left of the peaceful town this used to be. Also, what are the environmental impacts of so many more people in such a confined space? Air quality is certain to suffer, and is the water and sewage infrastructure remotely capable of handling the influx? I doubt it. Developers NEVER consider infrastructure. They throw up as much housing as possible, in the shortest time possible, make their money and run, and then it's up to towns to try and retrofit everything to make it work. Don't let that happen this time. Plan for fewer residents with adequate schools, stores, infrastructure. Or better yet, leave some open space!	6/15/2018 3:03 PM
9	I am concerned with the infrastructure especially issues with roads due to such a heavy increase in population. I am also concerned about water and whether a development like this can even be served by our current water resources.	6/15/2018 2:58 PM

## Olympia Hills

---

10	<p>I attended the town hall meeting last night with the Mayor and county council reps. I was appalled to hear of the lack of research done with this project and how quickly a decision was made to pass it. How could the council make a decision effecting the entire southwest end of the county with little to no information other than a developer presented a plan for empty land. Lining your pockets and their pockets is the only logical reason. Other than last night, when was the last time any of you came out to this end of the valley? Or heard any of the issues we face out here with the current economical growth? There is clearly no advocate for us on the county council. To find out you did NOT consult the school district, barely gave notice to the cities surrounding the purposed city and completely left the citizens in the dark until the vote took place is shameful politics. You received a letter from the mayors of the surrounding cities opposing such a plan, yet you still went full steam ahead with the vote. It baffles me that with such opposition only one of the council members voted no. Do the residents and local leaders have no say in what happens in our area? I'm disgusting with how this vote played out. As elected officials it is your duty to use common sense, research and input before making decisions like this. No thought was put into how traffic, schools, police/fire, water rights would be changed with a city of this magnitude in less than 1,000 acres of land. Who says this is good for the county? Clearly only the people who are getting their pockets filled with money. I understand the Mayor has finally vetoed this; good for him. I sure hope it has nothing to do with his upcoming re-election. It is his duty until he is no longer in office to stand up for ALL the residents in this county. Until the end of his term he should be fighting for his constituents and not the developers who are trying to make money.</p>	6/15/2018 2:39 PM
11	<p>Way too many people. I've been told County and City Commissions need to consider the needs of developers, they also need to consider the needs of their constituents. The response of the people should leave no question of how the people fee.</p>	6/15/2018 1:27 PM
12	<p>I can't believe you even considered such a dense population development. If that is OK, then why not 100 story high rises. That way you can get even more people in a small area if you don't have to be concerned about schools, waste, fire, overcrowded roads, maintaining roads, and all the other infrastructure that is required to maintain a community. The new Mountain View Village was approved because everyone was so concerned about how little tax revenue comes from residential vs commercial. So what is this new community going to offer me, as a long time taxpayer here? I can't see it offering me anything but problems! What is it offering the County Council that they would overlook the obvious and so quickly and quietly approve this new over-development?</p>	6/15/2018 1:07 PM
13	<p>To many to say all</p>	6/15/2018 12:52 PM
14	<p>East/West traffic, we do not have the road infrastructure to support 33K+ in the area. Water. Police/Fire support, with Herriman pulling away from the Unified Police where is the support going to come from for emergency or other local issues? Schools - is the Jordan School District prepared to support the students this will bring to the area?</p>	6/15/2018 12:49 PM
15	<p>Infrastructure is not good enough for this amount of new housing. It takes a full hour for me to get from bangerter home during rush hour and it will only get worse. I also worry about what would happen if there were ever a need to evacuate this portion of the valley for emergency purposes with so few roads. Schools are already really overcrowded. Mountain view corridor has more fatal accidents than we should ever see on one stretch of road and will only increase with an enormous influx of people.</p>	6/15/2018 12:11 PM
16	<p>High density housing would only increase our already overcrowded schools, and traffic.</p>	6/15/2018 12:02 PM
17	<p>Too big, too fast without proper planning regarding infastructure and many other issues such as effect on crime, etc. It's not well thought out and wreckless in it's attempted implementation.</p>	6/15/2018 11:39 AM

---

## Olympia Hills

18	The growth needs for the future can be handled in many alternative ways. I am shocked at the lack of respect for the interests of the people you represent. High density can be spread through the entire valley in a way that would enhance the communities rather than completely change and destroy them. There are areas such as where the CottonWood mall was and many, many others, that could easily be utilized for the type of high density building you are approving. Concerns include: 1. General Quality of life that will be impacted 2. Overcrowded schools 3. Increased taxes to cover required infrastructure and ongoing maintenance costs 4. Increased crime 5. Traffic congestion 6. Availability of water 7. Sewage and garbage generated from that type of development 8. Increased needs for Police, fire, and other community service I am confident that if the zoning rules change from what has been on record by any degree of significance, the county could very likely see a serious lawsuit from thousands of angry homeowners to compensate for the impact the changes will have on their home and property values. That could be very significant. People researched zoning plans and relied on them in their decisions to move to the southwest corner of the valley. No one wants that, it is a very non-productive, silly path. So lets VETO this development plan, get the communities involved, and listen to the people who you have committed to represent!	6/15/2018 11:24 AM
19	Over crowding, traffic, crime due to putting lower income housing	6/15/2018 10:51 AM
20	Over population, crime rate increase, traffic, and let's not forgot a police force now... not built for a population of this size	6/15/2018 10:40 AM
21	There is so much traffic and congestion out here already. The infrastructure just wasn't designed to hold that many people.	6/15/2018 10:37 AM
22	I am writing as a concerned citizen of Herriman. I want to clarify that I am not against HDH or growth. However, I feel that the growth and developed HDH should be done responsibly and well planned regarding effects to infrastructure, impacts on schools, and the overall impact to the surrounding community. The density proposed for the Olympia development of unincorporated salt lake county is twice the amount it should be. Our schools, roads, and infrastructure are already strained and it cannot handle the density proposed. Please DO NOT allow this development to continue with the proposed density. As a resident that will feel the effects of this development, and be nothing in the upcoming election, I ask that you veto the county council's decision regarding the Olympia development. Thank you! Jaimi Brewer	6/15/2018 10:13 AM
23	The amount of people in a small community space impacts the neighboring cities. The roads could not handle that many people. There are only a couple major roads that take people west. These roads are already packed and congested just for the current population in Herriman, Riverton, and South Jordan. If you haven't, just drive from I-15 west on 90th, 106th, 114th, 123rd during rush hour traffic. The current roads are not adequate for the current population and each of the west cities still have their own population growth. Herriman's population in the master plan is well over 100,000 people. Daybreak has a large new development that will substantially increase population, as well as Riverton. Each of these cities are dealing with roads and infrastructure to handle its own growth. Adding 30,000 more people at the cities borders in a condensed area is too much. The Mountain View corridor and Bangeter hwy are not currently able to handle the amount of traffic flow they have now. We should not have to bear through another 15 years plus of poor roads just to allow a land developer to create a city because the cities around are realistic and can't handle that amount of people in a small area. This type of community should be situated closer to public transportation, jobs in walking distance, and shopping/ eating amenities. Additional concerns are schools. Jordan School District can't handle this many people in a small space. And those in Jordan boundaries would be paying for new schools to support this tight community we don't want on our borders. Finally, the look of the mountainside would be impacted by these large complexes that don't match the communities around. This would significantly decrease the value of the homes around. Please consider the impact to our communities.	6/15/2018 10:11 AM
24	Ridiculous density in an area without the infrastructure to support it. Destroying open space with high density housing. Mayor receiving \$\$ from developers. Every last other local community admin. voicing their objections, and being ignored as the rezoning was initially approved. What kind of representative govt. is this? Why would anyone one agree to such a proposal, unless it is only about \$\$, and not what's best for southwest area of Salt Lake County?	6/15/2018 10:05 AM
25	Overloaded highways (already a problem), overcrowded schools, insufficient infrastructure, loss of open space, increased crime from transient (apartment) population, already too many apartments in the area.	6/15/2018 10:02 AM
26	Too dense, too massive, not well planned in regards to surrounding cities and infrastructure. Developer has a colored past and has left developments half finished.	6/15/2018 9:58 AM

## Olympia Hills

27	Too much growth already in Herriman. We do not need more dense housing!	6/15/2018 9:56 AM
28	EVERYTHING! The fact that it has gotten to this point is a disgrace. I have personally heard 2 of the council members state that there have been 3 meeting so far and noone was opposed to it then. I'm telling you nobody knew about those meetings! The one they did know about was from an obscure postcard with no information and the meeting was held at 8:30am on a weekday downtown. This developer has tried to fly under the radar to get what he wants and the council has tried to give it to him knowing very little if anything about the details or how it will effect the area and its current or future residents. This plan needs to Veto'd, common sense needs to be used, and a new plan needs to be formulated.	6/15/2018 9:56 AM
29	Traffic, infrastructure, utilities, schools, crime, quality of life, loss of open space are all issues that would be massively and detrimentally impacted by this irresponsible project.	6/15/2018 9:52 AM
30	This will add an unbelievable amount of traffic to an area of the Valley with limited accessibility already . I can't imagine what will happen to the beautiful country atmosphere in Herriman . The planning on this project is something out of a housing project in Detroit Not a Family oriented Community.	6/15/2018 9:49 AM
31	Don't put it in. It will increase taxes, increase my commute to work, burden the roads, schools, water and bring unwanted crime.	6/15/2018 9:37 AM
32	Overcrowding!!! Our community cannot handle this growth, it is overpopulated. Our schools are currently overcrowded and we do not want or need this!	6/15/2018 9:35 AM
33	Way to crowded already. Traffic will be an already bigger mess. No infrastructure to support that many more people. Horrible idea! Don't allow this please.	6/15/2018 9:28 AM
34	Far too densely populated. Our current infrastructure will not support it. It already takes 20 or more minutes to drive eastbound on 12600 S from Bangerter to I-15. Throw in another 33k people and that will jump to 30 minutes or more. Our commute times cannot handle the extra people. Water is another huge concern. We do not need to get ourselves in a situation where we are forcing California type water limits our residents. Crime - Super high density areas invite more opportunity for crime. I'm for the community, but do not cram people in there like sardines. Bring the people per acre down... instead of 33k plus, bring it closer to twelve - fifteen thousand. Less dense, nicer area, less strain on our resources. It is clear the council knew this was wrong in the way it was handled. Nothing our elected officials do behind closed doors is good for the community.	6/15/2018 9:26 AM
35	Lack of public input. Density. Traffic. Pressure on existing schools. Funding for new schools. Input needed from UDOT.	6/15/2018 9:23 AM
36	1) The density of the plan is way too, aggressive (2) the effect this kind of density will have on an infrastructure that will not be able to handle it, (3) the community's inability to provide the proper level of fire/police support to handle the population's influx, (4) the current political environment that helped put this development on the table to begin with, and, (5) how this was so well kept from the neighboring jurisdictions (who will be the most impacted) almost to the point where taking action to get it postponed/re-visited will probably be a wasted effort.	6/15/2018 9:22 AM
37	Traffic, Schools, pollution, crime, lack of infrastructure, but mostly TRAFFIC.	6/15/2018 9:17 AM
38	Too much high density housing and the impact that will have on our town.	6/15/2018 9:16 AM
39	Our schools are already filled to the max! Jordan school district should have a say whether this is even possible! Does the Olympia development have schools in the plans? Where will all of those thousands of people work? There are no jobs out this way. These people will have to leave our city to get to work. Most will live in apartments, so they will need to be able to afford traveling to work & daycare for their children when they are on year-round school!!! Our roads are super busy! Traffic ALL day long on EVERY road! Our city has ONLY 3 restaurants!! Our city doesn't even have an outdoor pool???! But you want to allow it to become one of the most dense areas in the US! How come this development is even in consideration to pass, when none of these things are even thought about? Does Herriman have a budget & time frame to accommodate all of these people before the new development is done? NO! NO! This Olympia development will ruin all surrounding cities!	6/15/2018 9:12 AM
40	I live in Herriman and I am concerned about the congestion the development will cause on roads, schools, resources, etc.	6/15/2018 9:07 AM

## Olympia Hills

41	I've always lived west of I-15. The MAJOR problem is moving people west to east in the AM and back in the evening. Growing up 33rd, 39th, 45th and 54th south all had "express ways" between what's now West Valley and I-15. Now, all of those streets are riddled with stop lights and the speed has been dropped. They are NO LONGER express ways. As the population grown in the south-west corner of the valley, roads like 90th, 104th, 114th, and 123rd are taking the brunt of the traffic. BUT NONE OF THEM ARE highways/freeways. Millions of dollars is being spent on putting overpasses on Bangeter and building Mountain view corridor to help us move north and south, but there's NO GOOD solution to moving us east and west. Please note that the house my mom grew up in is under I-15 and the first house I owned is now under Mountain View Corridor. I know what it's like to have to move because of changed in infrastructure. Before approving a plan to put such a large development in place, there needs to be a plan in how to move people around. And simply widening existing roads isn't enough. There needs to be highways. Just like when one builds a home, there needs to be a plan for the foundation and supporting utilities. A development like this needs to have a plan for it's foundation (infrastructure).	6/15/2018 9:06 AM
42	Too many units to be supported by existing infrastructure: traffic will increase, schools will be overcrowded, where will the water come from, will destroy the qualities that invited us to live here in the first place. I moved here 5 years ago thinking that we were going to a place that was semi-rural. Surprise! Herriman wants to be the most populous area in the Salt Lake Valley.	6/15/2018 9:06 AM
43	Density, Traffic, Schools, effect of economy, crime. I live right on 12600 and see the traffic, literally see the traffic daily and there is no way the road systems can handle that's much more traffic. I can hardly enter the road from my street (4260 W) as it is at certain times during the day. If we add that many more residents it's going to be a huge mess. And I worry that the road will be widened and I'll either be forced to move and sell my house for whatever dollar amount the state deems market value or have the road come right up to our driveway. Our schools out here are JUST starting to offer traditional schedules (at some schools) and this development is going to make that an issue again. Has anyone thought this through? Or are we just seeing \$\$\$\$\$???	6/15/2018 9:06 AM
44	Too many people for the space. More high density housing, the traffic. There are not enough roads to accommodate the smount of people. 12600 and 13400 are already a mess	6/15/2018 9:04 AM
45	Too much density. No plans in place for roads, schools, poilce, fire, etc.	6/15/2018 9:02 AM
46	Too many people without the infrastructure tonsupport. Packing in an already crowdod area with too much traffic.	6/15/2018 8:59 AM
47	We need infulstructure first and it is too dense. This will cause infulstructure and pollution problems. The pollution cannot be prevented but the road problem can be. 9000 s and/or 12600 and/or 13400 s need to be a freeways before we have that kind of problem. Sound too expensive? Then we should not be willing to have that kind of density. We need to move traffic east to west Via a freeway that isn't bangerter.	6/15/2018 8:57 AM
48	We do not need more development out here. Our schools and roads can't handle it as it is.	6/15/2018 8:50 AM
49	I am very concerned about the large amount of high density and how it's going to affect our already overcrowded schools and roads. I am not opposed to high-density as long as it is done with responsibility to those it will affect. I'm also concerned with urban sprawl and as I understand it, high-density housing can help moderate that effect. Because of that, again, I am not opposed to high density as long as we, citizens who live in the southwest valley, are being considered and our quality of life is being considered. I believe that the bottom line here is not about providing space for growth; it's about money. But it needs to be about both sustaining a quality of life and providing room for growth. As a community we should have a say in how our community looks and feels. We do not need to accept growth and say there's nothing else we can do about it except make room for everyone that's coming. We can provide reasonable growth while maintaining good quality of life. That's what I'm asking.	6/15/2018 8:45 AM
50	Overcrowding an already suffering infrastructure (lack of roads, education systems, utilities, etc.). Increase in crime rate. And BTW - even the HDH out here isn't "affordable" by any Federal or State terms (I work in the industry so I know firsthand), so this new development WON'T be either. All it's going to do is bring in thousands of people, most likely not even from UT, to areas that have worked hard and invested big \$ to escape what this kind of development has done to the North end of the valley.	6/15/2018 8:41 AM

## Olympia Hills

51	We have lived in the area 13 years. We have seen riverton go from a quiet safe community to a city busting at the seams with construction and building, the traffic I can wait 5-10 min just to turn out of my neighborhood it takes 20 min to get anywhere past the district 12600 and 13400 are the same., overly crowded schools, my sons school already had 1,000 students and 5 3rd grade classes. They had to bring in 6 portables its to many. The crime especially from apartments. Please don't make this decision!	6/15/2018 8:41 AM
52	Too dense. Too fast. Schools alone can't handle that kind of a community in this area. Not to mention roads.	6/15/2018 8:39 AM
53	My concern is overpopulation and high density housing without infrastructure to support. Too many people per square mile.	6/15/2018 8:39 AM
54	The existing roadways can barely support the traffic now. This would more than double the traffic.	6/15/2018 8:38 AM
55	Want to keep Herriman more rural, too much traffic, increase in crime, stress on resources, wildlife impacted, increase in pollution.	6/15/2018 8:36 AM
56	Roads, traffic, schools, crime	6/15/2018 8:34 AM
57	Traffic concerns, traffic is already so bad on 12600 south. School concerns, all schools are overcrowded as it is. It is also too high of a density. I moved here to not feel crammed into a small place, I hate all the high density going in. This is even worse than all of the existing high density.	6/15/2018 8:34 AM
58	My largest concern is traffic. It is already terrible getting into Herriman during rush hour.	6/15/2018 8:32 AM
59	It's ridiculous!!! Just because there is open land, doesn't mean we need to build on it. That is one of the things that makes Utah great. We are taking that away. There are plenty of existing homes and neighborhoods waiting for people to buy them. Take this development down past Springville or in the middle of the state. Leave us ALONE!!!	6/15/2018 8:17 AM
60	Crowding on roads, increased taxes to fund school, etc	6/15/2018 8:15 AM
61	Schools being overpopulated, traffic is already horrible and this will just make it worse I imagine commute times will double and I already commute 45-1 hour to and from work and I work right off the freeway at 5400. That time is time taken from my family and being a working mom I want to spend all the free time I can with my kids.	6/15/2018 8:10 AM
62	Traffic, schools, water. I already have safety concerns living here. This corner of the Valley with only a couple of roads for egress. Having lived through emergencies and natural disasters that require evacuation other places I feel like we are sitting ducks with roads that can't handle the congestion of normal traffic, let alone adding another city to existing infrastructure. I am concerned about sustaining this level of growth with available water resources. Have their been studies showing the long term water impact? The idea that people will live and work in the same area so they don't add to pollution and traffic is sweet but unrealistic. There is no manufacturing, no business park, very little commercial, so no jobs, in this plan. This is a commuter community realistically. Our schools cannot support that increase of students, our property taxes are already high because of bad development planning, and cannot support building that many more schools. Why are we afraid of going around the mountain by toele? I keep hearing that is a bad thing, but I don't know why. I understand the need for housing for our children in the future, but they need all the other resources, too. Specifically breathable air and enough water. And they in the future, and they now, need to be safe. This plan compromises their safety.	6/15/2018 8:07 AM
63	The density is way too problematic!!! The impact on water requirements, educational resources and the increase of traffic is overwhelming. Take a ride on 12600 S or 13400 S at rush hour and it is obvious that the infrastructure must be enhanced before anything is added. Take a pause and carefully evaluate exactly what can be added and still offer a quality of life for existing and new citizens,	6/15/2018 8:06 AM
64	My number one concern is the amount of people that will move to the area and the infrastructure is not there.	6/15/2018 8:03 AM
65	Stop it! too much, too quick, it is all about greed and money how about we look out for people	6/15/2018 7:59 AM
66	It will bring more crime, take away our open space, and over crowd our schools even more.	6/15/2018 7:51 AM
67	-Diminishing open space -overcrowded schools -transportation -pollution -crime	6/15/2018 7:50 AM
68	MASS DEGRADATION OF HERRIMAN AND RIVERTON AREA!!	6/15/2018 7:47 AM

## Olympia Hills

69	How are they going to move 30,000 residents in and out of Herriman daily? How many schools are included to prevent the overcrowding we already have? How many additional police officers do we need to hire for increase of residents and how much is that going to increase the existing homeowner's taxes? What are the commercial plans for more residents to shop and eat?	6/15/2018 7:46 AM
70	I live in Tuscany Estates where all the high density town homes are being built. I have already seen the increase in traffic and crime (yes crime to my house) due to the increase. There is not enough space to house all these people and you seem to want to cram 30,000 people in a small area without having enough commercial, water, schools, roads, police officers, fire department etc. I moved to Herriman to retire because of the wide open space to get out of the craziness of the crowds and now you want to do the same in this area. Please kill this deal and rethink the plan. I am not opposed to progress and we need more single family homes with larger yards so families are not so close together. I certainly hope you have heard the will of the people and that you are not one of those that will just take the deal and line your pocket with their money. Let's do what is right for a change and take a stand for the people since we are the ones who have elected you.	6/15/2018 7:46 AM
71	Where do I start?!! I attended the town hall meeting last night and thought some very valid points were brought up on top of those that I already had. I have several concerns, some have already been addressed and discussed. 1. Traffic- you put one of the most dense cities out in 930 acres with two major east west roads you will have a nightmare going east/west (which ironically you must travel east to get to where the mass transit is and no east west bus lines and most work locations on the East side ). 2. Schools. We are already overcrowded, like seriously overcrowded. Why was Jordan School District not involved in this from the start? Do we not care about our kids education? This massive development will need space for MULTIPLE elementary schools, TWO Jr. High schools and TWO HIGH SCHOOLS! Where will they go? Was that even a consideration of this money hungry developer? How did the council not think of this and ask the developer? 3. People purchased homes near the area based on the zoning of the area. Shouldn't the council be considerate of those who took the time to research where they want to have their home and not just change it in a massive way in a whole TWO meetings. How would you like the rug pulled from underneath your feet? 4. Lack of businesses and commercial planning. So you now have a town of 30,000 and what businesses are going to be sending the city taxes to run that massive city. The need for police will be very strong and who will pay for it? There needs to be mixed use development and a better mix of sources of income for a city. 5. Do we really need a USU campus in the middle of nowhere? I am all for education, I am a USU grad. I also attended Utah and SLCC when I needed additional classes. Now there are plenty of online choices for students so no travel is even necessary. Neither USU or the SLC valley need a student dorm and a remote campus (besides where would the students work-oh there isn't a job nearby only apartments and apartments and townhouses, how would they get to the store -since there isn't one- where will their children go to school-oh, there isn't one. 5. This project, though legally completed correctly, was rushed and done without public input. A council member wrote me and said that there was no opposition in the previous meetings yet when the proposal was met with opposition in June in a joint letter from the Mayors of west side cities and our city council members spoke to the council they were COMPLETELY ignored. There was no opposition because NO ONE KNEW!! It's like my son saying "Mom, you didn't say I couldn't drive the car" when he never asked, he just took. How could I as the mom say NO when he never took the time to ask. That is like the council, they never took the time to ask and reach out and gather the opinion of those people they are to REPRESENT (emphasis on represent not try to tell me what to do, I can form my own opinion). If they represent me, they should ask me and gather my opinion not just tell me what to do! That will be remembered come election time!! And not only did they ignore our mayor they also ignored their fellow dissenting council member and decided that they had already talked enough about this and passed it anyway. In summary, I am not against growth, after all I live in a high growth area, I am against irresponsible, unplanned, rushed growth. I acknowledge the need for housing (I searched for 9 months for a rambler style home on the West side under 300K. I wasn't looking for a master suite, granite counters, 3 car garage or fancy stuff. All I wanted was a rambler for my bad knees, with a decent family friendly lay out, on at least .15 acres. I totally understand the need for housing). The land in question we be better suited for mixed use development (business, industrial, commercial, residential) and a much better and thought out plan that INCLUDES community input!!! I appreciate the opportunity to attend the town hall meeting and Mayor McAdams reaching out. I hope that he and the council will listen to the proceedings and REPRESENT the will of the people, not their own will or the financial interest they might have. Sincerely, Sheri Schmidt	6/15/2018 7:45 AM
72	Traffic, sustainability, construction, overpopulation, too many kids in classes	6/15/2018 7:38 AM

## Olympia Hills

73	We do not have the infrastructure to support this. Community, school district and city's were not consulted. What is the benefit to the county? Why approve this so quickly? Not okay, our area is already dealing with major problems in terms of construction, suicide, growth. This is being done without concern for those already here	6/15/2018 7:37 AM
74	Roads/traffic. Envision Utah states that high density housing should be clustered near transit hubs, but this is as far from transit hubs as you can get. We have no east/west freeway or anything close to an east/west freeway and already crowded roads are going to become impossible. With density as high as Olympia Hills, there's no way we will have enough jobs for its residents on the west side. They'll be commuting and our infrastructure cannot handle it. 5000 apartments that close is complete madness. Proponents of the project, including those penning pro-Olympia op-ed pieces, hint that those opposed to this insta-city would rather have a nonstop suburban sprawl of single family homes on large lots. Nothing could be further from the truth. Of course we need to pursue commercial development. Of course we need high density housing. But apartments and ecen townhomes need to be spread and proportioned appropriately. 32 people per acre is just not right. It is irresponsible to have this many people crunched together, again, so far away from where their jobs would likely be. Even with creating jobs and bringing business to the west side, this project would bring so MANY people, I doubt we can bring in THAT many jobs. Finally, I know we are growing and that the west side is where the land is. But, why does that mean we have the responsibility to throw up thousands and thousands of shoebox homes? The market should determine growth. If people really are coming to Utah in the droves predicted, we have Weber, Davis, and Utah Counties that can shoulder the burden as well. If people come here and can't afford the housing, maybe they try looking in other areas. I see a cluster of 5000 apartments as a future hotspot for crime, a black eye on the southwest county. I know we need affordable housing, but I don't think the currently proposed Olympia Hills is the way to go about it.	6/15/2018 7:36 AM
75	My main concerns are the ability of roads to handle the proposed added population. The roads we have now are having a hard time keeping up with the current population, let alone another whole city as proposed. My other concern is quality of life. Most people who moved to this area liked the small town/country feel of the catea and that was a big part of the home-buying decision. Adding a densely populated city right next door will bring a change that could destroy that feeling that we treasure here	6/15/2018 7:32 AM
76	Way too many people crammed into high density housing.	6/15/2018 7:32 AM
77	Worsening of overcrowded schools. Herriman High is already the most crowded high school in the state. Teachers and staff already extremely overloaded. Kids' education suffering. 8 suicides this year. Obviously a complex issue but not helped by the over crowding. How will this development leave room for schools and transportation ? Will they plan for it like daybreak did? Traffic. It's already terrible. So many accidents already. Will you wait to implement this until all studies and new roads have been built—years from now? It runs the feel of Herriman and why we moved out here in he first place. Will you listen to the voice of the people? WeDONT want this here	6/15/2018 7:20 AM
78	Over crowding of small area. Long term planning of road structure. Currently the amount of traffic and accidents is a concern and adding more drivers only complicates the current problem. Schools, Herriman High suffered great loss this year, kids in this school already expressed their feelings about just being a number and not having their needs met. We are behind the game when it comes to over crowding our buildings. It would be nice if we could be proactive and have things in place and ready for growth before we add to the problem.	6/15/2018 7:19 AM
79	We are not ready for this many people. Our roads, schools, infrastructure cannot handle it. There are already too many people on the road out here without proper road development or management. Please veto.	6/15/2018 7:17 AM
80	To many units too close together in a very small area in a part of the valley that has no infrastructure to support it. The surrounding areas are already starting to feel the affects of existing HDH like over crowded schools and clogged roads. Putting this development in place seems irresponsible and ill informed. This type of HDH should be spread out across the valley and put in places there are jobs and transit to support the people who would live there.	6/15/2018 7:10 AM
81	Lack of infrastructure - roads, water School overcrowding - this concerns me as a parent AND a teacher	6/15/2018 7:09 AM
82	My biggest is traffic! My husband works in south Jordan and it takes him 40 minutes to get home all ready!! There are not enough roads east to west as it is! Please consider veto! The logistics of this plan don't add up! With schools, transportation, space!	6/15/2018 7:01 AM
83	It is ridiculous to but that hdh with out the proper infrastructure in place not to mention the tax burden and the school burden.	6/15/2018 6:56 AM

## Olympia Hills

84	The roads, schools, water, taxes and crime. No one on the east side of county is going to vote to raise their taxes to help us out so we will be stuck again. Similar to how the governor took away our freedoms by allowing canyon school district to be developed and those on the west side were the ones impacted and had no voice	6/15/2018 6:52 AM
85	We don't need more people. Expansion will only cause more problems.	6/15/2018 6:47 AM
86	Traffic, school over crowding, increases crime, places for thousands of kids to play, stores/restaurants/parks/etc., not enough fire/police men...	6/15/2018 6:46 AM
87	Too much traffic on 2 lane roads and increased crime from so many people in a small area.	6/15/2018 6:45 AM
88	Density, overgrowth, traffic, lack of infrastructure, crime, classroom sizes	6/15/2018 6:15 AM
89	1. Poor citizen and community leader involvement from the onset 2. Lack of required infrastructure to allow for development 3. Density is far to high for the project - density is needed in any successful community but not the entire project - density must be measured and designed into a community 4. The County has gotten out of the business of providing services for "townships", so why this? 5. Approving zoning for densities of this magnitude and scope is absurd 6. The County leadership should have held multiple meetings with the Mayors/Councils of the adjoining city's as well as community forums long before taking any action or contemplating approvals for Olympia Hills 7. The Developer "failed" to include the community in his plans - a blunder in today's development world - he did so because he knew his design was faulty and based solely on profits over community 8. The current plan is faulty in so any ways - I implore you to deny, veto, whatever and begin again and do it right. Need help... Mark @ BuildTec Solutions 801-550-9996	6/15/2018 6:13 AM
90	My main concern is the overpopulation of low income housing. We just moved from WVC and we moved to get out of the same area that you're trying to build. We moved here to feel the security in a sense of community. Somewhere that my kid can ride a bike in the front yard without me worrying. Please leave the small town feel of Herriman alone!!! It's why we moved here and I don't want to move again, but I'm sure we will if things continue the way they're going.	6/15/2018 6:00 AM
91	Too many people per acre. How will Jordan School District fund and educate them. This is a project to make the most money not give people a great quality of life.	6/15/2018 5:11 AM
92	Too many people in too small of space. The impact it's going to have on schools, traffic, crime. I also love the openness of the location. I prefer it remain that way, or at least be slower growth, and not high density.	6/15/2018 4:35 AM
93	Too many people! Not enough roads around here.	6/15/2018 2:19 AM
94	Infrastructure. Road congestion. Overcrowding in general. Too many people in such a small area with limited roadways in and out of the area.	6/15/2018 2:11 AM
95	School overcrowding, lack of resources, high density housing, traffic, crime rates associated with large amounts of people, the impact in 10 years when this housing becomes cheaper, and the possibility of low income housing.	6/15/2018 1:54 AM
96	I'm very concerned that the road structures can not support this type of build. Traffic is already so bad and it's definitely gotten worse over the past 2-3 years as Herriman has grown. I live in Riverton and drive to the U of U for work. Since the growth in Herriman my commute time has increased significantly. It's gottwn so bad that we are considering moving.	6/15/2018 1:29 AM
97	Having 33,000+ people added to our community in such a small area... without the infrastructure and schools to support the growth... and the high probability of having our already high taxes raised to pay for it all. I am also highly concerned with decisions like this being made without input from the citizens it will directly affect by those who don't even live in our area. Lastly, I am concerned about the conflict of interest of politicians involved in making this decision receiving campaign contributions from the developer.	6/15/2018 12:56 AM
98	There is no infrastructure in place to handle the proposed development. Traffic has already become a nightmare. We moved to Riverton because we wanted a small town feel.	6/15/2018 12:35 AM
99	Infrastructure is not able to support this project. I know building will occur, lets jst do it responsibly.	6/15/2018 12:26 AM

## Olympia Hills

100	We have too much high density housing in our area. We do not have the roads, schools, or public service enough to accommodate the number of people we are packing into this corner of the valley. The idea of rural Utah in this area is long gone and the continuous flow of high density housing continues to chip away at the home town feeling we once enjoyed. It feels very much like Southern California. Too many people in not enough space and traffic as far as the eye can see. When is it enough?	6/15/2018 12:25 AM
101	Traffic (no freeways east/west), not enough available resources (water, post office, schools, churches), increase in crime, harm to the environment/air quality.	6/15/2018 12:09 AM
102	There are not enough schools to promote this kind of growth. This is not responsible! We already have problems with over crowding, this will make it so much worse. Our streets are not meant to handle this number of people. There is no good access in and out... the school issue alone and amount it would cost taxpayers should be enough to VETO!!!	6/15/2018 12:08 AM
103	Too crowded, increase in traffic and crime. No infrastructure ready for this	6/15/2018 12:03 AM
104	Way too many hdh crap places. The field they are planning on putting in is a DRY crop only area since there is no water up there. We do not want to be the 11th most populated area in the country. Can't believe anyone is ignorant enough to all doug the dirtbag develop anything in their city. Can't believe this was ever some idiot's idea and has made it this far. VETO THIS PATHETIC PLAN!!!!	6/14/2018 11:58 PM
105	Traffic, utilities- water, power. Overcrowding schools.	6/14/2018 11:57 PM
106	Traffic & impact on schools	6/14/2018 11:55 PM
107	This type of density should be placed along the I-15 corridor, with Trac and front runner to handle the population. It is ridiculous to put this so far south and west with no good traffic solutions, no freeways to the development. This will destroy the traffic in the southwest corner.	6/14/2018 11:52 PM
108	Way too many people in a small area, bring more traffic with not many roads to get out of the city	6/14/2018 11:49 PM
109	Overcrowded schools, infrastructure needed to support a population of this extent, the public services required will become a tax burden, historically this type of development has already been done and only problems followed. We can do better a plan a much better Herriman that our people deserve.	6/14/2018 11:49 PM
110	I worry about how our schools will once again be bursting at the seams, the increased traffic and potential crime.	6/14/2018 11:41 PM
111	It will make an already crowded south west end of the valley even more crowded and the streets and roadways can't handle the volume! I would like it to stay a somewhat suburban feel, not a big city!	6/14/2018 11:40 PM
112	The density of the homes. People per acre. The roads over crowded. Where is water, power, etc coming from.	6/14/2018 11:35 PM
113	Too many people in an area that is not designed for it. We are a suburb far from public transportation. The infrastructure is not there for this development either. I also hate people!	6/14/2018 11:35 PM
114	Traffic Air quality School overcrowding Corruption in the government Property value Campaign donations Crime Turning us into New Kearns My childrens' safety Lack of care for the community Corruption Water Water Water Utilities Feeling that my government is trying to pull one over in the community by approving something ludicrous and then eventually high fiving a terrible proposal that doesn't effect any of them personally Smog Corrupt developer with prior bankruptcy Campaign donations Water Air quality I know I'm repeating some I'm doing it on purpose It just feels 'icky,' doesn't it? Several vacant businesses Erosion Wildlife population Lawsuits No viable public transportation (Trax is laughable) Irresponsible economic growth (bubble) Localized recession Garbage in the streets Drugs Increase in homeless populations Corruption USPS bandwidth It's just gross Mr Smith I want to know how we can completely oppose and halt ANY rezoning of this land for the next ten + years. What Kennecott has been doing recently only further and will only further damage our haphazard infrastructure on this end of the valley. I would ask you to consider an investment in the great human beings in this community. You wouldn't want this in yours. No Olympia, No Mr Smith, No rezoning. It's the only acceptable answer and at this point the only way anyone who initially voted yes will have a small chance at reelection.	6/14/2018 11:26 PM
115	It's too many people on such a small piece of land. This area is already very busy and with that size of an addition it will be much much worse. We don't have the infrastructure to support dumping another city sized group of people into a current overpopulated city.	6/14/2018 11:23 PM

## Olympia Hills

116	So many people and no infrastructure. I live on the west side of the freeway off 12300- the last thing we need is a 30,000 additional people using i15 and 12300	6/14/2018 11:21 PM
117	I've heard a considerable number of people voice concerns about the development reducing the open space in the area as well as causing potential strain on infrastructure such as roads and water/sewer. But I've also heard that the development is not going to be instant that it is scheduled to be close to a 20-30 year project, is that true? I'm also curious as to what the forecasted benefits are of the development, I'm sure such an increase will include more tax revenue but because it is not part of a specific city in what ways will I see potential positive feedback from this development?	6/14/2018 11:18 PM
118	Overcrowding the area. Riverton and Herriman are already overcrowded and can't handle the traffic as is. you want to add all of these thousands more people without a way to handle them! What if there had to be an evacuation? We are going to be screwed! There is no infrastructure and they will take our resources. The schools are already over full and will be this will make our kids education worse!	6/14/2018 11:08 PM
119	Traffic, quality of life living next to a development this large,	6/14/2018 11:07 PM
120	I am completely against the building of Olympia. Our city does not have the infrastructure to support the additional traffic this development will produce. We also currently have overcrowded schools that are not capable of taking in the additional population of children while providing quality education. These are a few of the many reasons I am against this large development.	6/14/2018 11:03 PM
121	Is this a wise use of land and resources-more info is needed.	6/14/2018 11:03 PM
122	Too dense Schools Crime Air quality is horrible Infrastructure	6/14/2018 11:02 PM
123	I am very concerned about the impact on the Jordan school district (that is already overcrowded as is), the lack of tax revenue to support this area, the increased traffic through our city of Herriman. Putting so many people in such a small area in the middle of no where is an extremely bad idea. There is already too much high density housing in the southwest corner of the county please do not add this EXTREMELY high density housing! This is not a solution to housing!!	6/14/2018 11:01 PM
124	Not enough schools More behavior problems in schools Too much traffic on already congested roads; many accidents several deaths this year Crime is going up and rising Property values are decreasing Please, please just veto this!	6/14/2018 11:01 PM
125	Traffic, infrastructure, schools, water, and higher taxes that help pay for the developer to get rich.	6/14/2018 10:59 PM
126	STOP THE OLYMPIA HILLS DEVELOPMENT!!!!!!!!!!!!	6/14/2018 10:58 PM
127	Over population, crowded schools and higher crime rate. Please stop the building!	6/14/2018 10:55 PM
128	The density is much higher than any other cities or towns in this area. In fact it would be one of the most densely packed areas in the entire country. With this density comes traffic and a strain on existing infrastructure. We would need at minimum a new elementary, middle and high school. At minimum two or three new grocery stores. At minimum another post office. Not to mention all of the other necessities such as water, gas and electricity. The list goes on. The developer and county have not accounted for all of this. If the traffic and infrastructure is not done right these densely packed apartments and condos will be undesirable to high quality tenants and home buyers. This could lead to nearby property values decreasing significantly. Finally there simply aren't enough roads and highways leading out to this area. Traffic on 12600 S is already very bad during rush hours. With 33,000+ more people traveling on this road it will become impassable. While I understand the need for more housing the fact of the matter is we have a shortage of single family homes - not just apartments and condos. This development seeks to add only a small number of single family homes which will not meet the needs and desires of the population here.	6/14/2018 10:54 PM
129	It is too big and needs to be in a city. I don't want another city.	6/14/2018 10:51 PM
130	Everything. The local infrastructure will not even with modifications support even a fraction of the people projected. Roads will get worse than they are. Schools will continue to be overcrowded and have a less personal feel. Natural resources will be consumed and not replenishes. #vetoOlympiaHills	6/14/2018 10:46 PM

## Olympia Hills

131	Please Veto Olympia Hills! Why did this even get voted in? The number of people you want to put in one small area is OUSTANDING!! We don't have the schools, restaurants, post office, gas stations, roads, freeways, stores, law enforcement, etc, to handle this mass amount of people you are wanting to put in one tiny area. Just the schools alone needed for this development would be 1 high school, 2 middle schools and 3-4 elementary school. We moved to Herriman in 2001, I remember the grande opening of the Chevron, the first store (besides main street in herriman) to open. We moved here to get away from the city and have a little bit of land. Our back yard was full of wildlife, deer, antelope, foxes etc. Now I have a 4 lane road to enjoy and I can't open the windows at night because of the road noise. There is no more room in Herriman for these astronomical developments....WERE FULL...CAMPGROUND FULL! SINGLE FAMILY HOMES ONLY and GREEN SPACE! PLEASE VETO VETO VETO!!!!!!!	6/14/2018 10:44 PM
132	Overcrowded schools, traffic (there are not enough roads, increase crime,pollution	6/14/2018 10:43 PM
133	Increased traffic- rode biking already has a lot of cars to deal with. Roadways are very congested as it is. Schools can barely keep up with grown as it is. Mayor didn't think this through and what the constiuants /surrounding cities wanted and only listened to the developers . Now he is back tracking. We need open land for wild life and better views.	6/14/2018 10:42 PM
134	The inability of the surrounding cities to provide the necessary infrastructure to support the proposed development.	6/14/2018 10:41 PM
135	Over crowded roads, not enough schools,	6/14/2018 10:41 PM
136	My brother in law works for UPD and until recently worked in the Riverton/Herriman area. He has said that drug use is a major problem at Herriman High School and specifically blamed the high number of surrounding apartments. We moved to Riverton to live in an area with larger lots and neighborhoods with homes with yards. Now because of South Jordan and Herriman allowing massive numbers of high density apartments and town homes, it no longer has the same feeling in the south end of the valley. We strongly urge you to veto the Olympia development because our kids don't need more drug use in their schools and we don't want to live in such an overcrowded area. It's already out of control! Please be our advocate to say enough is enough!	6/14/2018 10:38 PM
137	High density is evil, and Dorian will save us.	6/14/2018 10:36 PM
138	Over population drawing in crime, stressing people out being to close. Traffic is a huge concern as well as the roads and poor planning for infrastructure is not planned out. People that do not live here on this part of the valley or community are making decisions for us that harm us and have no bearing on their lives what so ever. Many more concerns as well. The decision was done in a very cowardly and sneaky manner.	6/14/2018 10:32 PM
139	Roads are already jam packed with only 2 roads out of herriman 126th and 134th(east/west). No roads connect between Herriman and Bluffdale and I don't see any of them connecting any time soon. Adding 33k+ people is only asking for trouble for our roads let alone other services/utilities.	6/14/2018 10:32 PM
140	The density of the project. I am for progression and the need for more housing. It needs to be done in a responsible way that won't put a strain on the community and its resources.	6/14/2018 10:32 PM
141	Traffic congestion Increase in crime with high density housing Greater demand of water supply Loss of open space Schools unable to keep up woth growth	6/14/2018 10:31 PM
142	Way to much high density and low income housing. Crime is already on the rise and the density would make this the 11 dense city in the country. We don't have the road infrastructure, water, sewer schools and more. This will end up costing the tax payers a lot!	6/14/2018 10:31 PM
143	Over population. Schools overcrowded. Transportation/ road issues. Increased crime.	6/14/2018 10:30 PM
144	Traffic, already overcrowded schools and rec center, increased crime.	6/14/2018 10:29 PM
145	We simply do not have the infrastructure to accommodate such huge increase in population. If it must take place, lets get our roads ready and then do it slowly. Also, why must it be such a high density development in a suburb? We like our open space and country feel. I realize that we must expand and that we are growing however there are better and more responsible ways of doing it. Please don't ruin our city! We moved here for many reasons that this development would destroy. Thank you for your time and consideration!	6/14/2018 10:24 PM
146	We can't even take care of the schools for our kids now. We are paying for a bond to even put in schools for growth now. Taxes will have to rise again for such a large development. Roads are a problem. Traffic is a nightmare and the developer will make his money and leave the residents with the punishment and that isn't right.	6/14/2018 10:22 PM

## Olympia Hills

147	Traffic on 12600 South is already terrible. Our roads and infrastructure cannot support such a densely populated development.	6/14/2018 10:22 PM
148	I feel like high density housing doesn't create stability in our city. People come and go and don't connect with their neighbors. It burdens the schools and roads. Please develop this area more responsibly. Thanks for your time and consideration of this!	6/14/2018 10:20 PM
149	Traffic Schools Safety The list goes on and on	6/14/2018 10:20 PM
150	Over development and infrastructure issues	6/14/2018 10:18 PM
151	1-Too many people crowded into a tiny area. 2-Not enough roads to handle the growth already occurring right now, let alone the absurd number of people the developer wants to squish into Olympia Hills. 3-Our current schools and the ones being built can not handle the many 1000's of kids that could end up living there. I'm a teacher at Eastlake Elementary in Daybreak and our numbers have never been under 1100, and that's with changing the boundaries last year. 4-Our roads now are so unsafe for people in the cars and those walking. The increased number of drivers would only make accidents triple or higher. Please veto this and make this developer work with the people of Herriman or go somewhere else. I've voted for you before because I feel you not only are a good man, but one that knows how to make good decisions. Keep it up and thank you for listening	6/14/2018 10:18 PM
152	Please veto this plan, Mayor McAdams. It seems foolish to put a development with 30,000 people in an area so far removed from everything they'd need to survive. Where will they work? How will they get to their jobs? How will they get to the grocery store, or doctor's and dentist appointments? What kind of transportation options will they have? Where will their kids go to school? Unfortunately, it appears the surrounding areas will have to subsidize such a massive amount of growth. There has been a lack of thoughtful planning for infrastructure (roads, utilities, police, fire, schools) in the southwest corner of the valley, and this development will only make those issues worse. We need leaders with more foresight than there has been in the past to put more effort toward building infrastructure before building communities. Please, Mayor McAdams, be that leader that changes the foolish, shortsighted, arrogant way developments such as this have occurred in the past. Veto this plan!	6/14/2018 10:16 PM
153	Any city that has ever been successful has always started with roads schools and smart zoning of businesses, such as Frisco and Plano TX. Those two cities planned everything out then has explosive growth and they are still a great place to live. Unfortunately our city doesn't care about developing a place people want to live even though the land that's being developed is has more potential than most places. I'm worried we are making all the Same mistakes other poor city's have made. I'm worried that my kids are going to have to deal with over crowded schools and have to wait longer for their parents to come home because traffic will be so bad. We are creating an environment of inflation because a developer cannot wait to make some extra money.	6/14/2018 10:15 PM
154	Roads, infrastructure, overcrowding of schools, lack of emergency personnel for coming growth, water, more traffic accidents overall Herriman at this time can not sustain a huge growth like this. We as a city are not equipped to bring 33,000 more to our all ready growing too fast community! Our community can't handle the growth we have now.	6/14/2018 10:13 PM
155	Traffic, schools and lack of east/west roads large enough to handle the congestion.	6/14/2018 10:13 PM
156	Traffic it's already gotten bad, only two street in and out of the Herriman area. The schools out here are already busting at their seams and getting teachers has been a challenge, Utilities.. Police.. This really needs to be rethought.	6/14/2018 10:12 PM
157	Increase on Traffic, crime	6/14/2018 10:11 PM
158	Population becoming too high for high density housing plus low income and no way to get around can you say gridlock!?!?!?	6/14/2018 10:11 PM
159	Traffic, deteriorating market values for property, crime and violence. Over crowding in schools. Accessibility. Healthcare.	6/14/2018 10:10 PM

## Olympia Hills

160	I am a proponent of responsible growth. The Olympia Hills development is absolutely abhorrent. It is irresponsible to plan a community that is nearly the same size as the current population of Riverton City and slightly smaller than the current population of Herriman City into an area that is roughly 1.5 miles Squared. I have witnessed in my own community in Herriman how developers manipulate and deceive the city, or in this case the county, councils in order to maximize their profits. My community started out with primarily single family homes. These homes were in "clusters", similar to how the Daybreak community started, and the developer then changed the plans for the community from single family home clusters to ALL townhomes after the first half was developed. This higher density has led to a strain on our community resources and amenities, as well as the traffic within the community itself. The developer did this specifically to increase their profit margins that came from selling more homes in the same amount of space as the single family homes that were originally planned. I have only been in Herriman for the past 5 and a half years, and I have been sorely disappointed in the management of the city by the city council in favor of higher density communities and developments instead of developments that are family focused and allow for roots to grow, all for a larger profit, both in tax revenue for the city and for the developers' pockets. As I said at the beginning, I am not opposed to growth, but it must be responsible growth, where thought, research, planning, and studies have been conducted to ensure that the development is implemented in a responsible manner. Infrastructure, schools, business or commercial districts, should all be part of the planning/research process. Discussions with the cities/communities that will have the greatest impact from the developments need to be brought in early on so as to assist and provide insight about the areas being impacted. This plan must be Vetoed by the County Mayor, Ben McAdams, with the necessary support of the County Council so that a new, more appropriate and responsible plan can be presented and considered. Thank you!	6/14/2018 10:08 PM
161	Herriman does not have the resources to support such a dense development. This will put a tremendous strain on roads and schools, in particular. To allow this venture is ludicrous and irresponsible.	6/14/2018 10:08 PM
162	35 people per acre is completely out of character for our community! I'm concerned about the following: -Diminishing open space -overcrowded schools -transportation -pollution -crime	6/14/2018 10:02 PM
163	My concerns are that this is not in the best interest of the citizens. It is in the best interest of the developer and those he financially supports. 33,000 people in 900 sq acres in an area that is already suffering from traffic infrastructure problems and over crowding in schools. The citizens of Herriman will be the ones left holding the financial bag to build these infrastructures that is not fair. ANY new community that is built should include BUILT IN commercial and schools before the housing. Stop building housing and playing catch up with the services to support it. Our community deserves better. We purchased our home with knowing the proposed density plans and this development is a complete smack in the face and a betrayal to this community. A "redesign" is not acceptable. A veto is the only option. Why are we acting like the only place in the county is to build in an area that has no infrastructure to support it. The pace of growth does NOT demand this type of housing. The west side of the valley needs more commercial, more things to draw people to US instead of everything being built on the East side. We need those tax dollars that come from commercial to support schools, infrastructure, and recreation. We don't need this density housing at all. By allowing this plan to stay you are saying that the citizens you serve mean nothing, you are saying that you welcome higher crime rates that will come from cramming people together and less opportunity for wholesome recreation. Listen to the citizens. #VetoOlympia	6/14/2018 10:01 PM
164	Crime, overpopulation, deterioration of herriman	6/14/2018 10:00 PM
165	Traffic in our area is absolutely ridiculous. Adding to it by creating additional high density housing is not practical. The infrastructure simply cannot sustain the influx in population. I highly urge that this item be vetoed.	6/14/2018 9:57 PM
166	Traffic, population density, school populations, tax increases, crime rates. I'm not against a planned community, just the population density and the problems that go with it.	6/14/2018 9:56 PM
167	No room in schools. The roads can't handle that many people. Too much building without looking at consequences.	6/14/2018 9:55 PM
168	There are not the schools, roads or infrastructure to support the high density housing.	6/14/2018 9:52 PM
169	The increased traffic and congestion because of this development.	6/14/2018 9:51 PM

## Olympia Hills

170	First...none of the mayor's were heard on this issue. Second...it was made by people who have no vested interest in our area...wont impact you. You don't already have overcrowded schools and streets...you dont understand it already takes forever to get in and out of our neighborhood. There is NO way this area can handle what you are proposing...it cannot handle it. My faith in democracy is pretty much gone. You are changing the lives of those that live south drastically in a hugely negative way. My husband commutes by Trax, I am afraid he will not even be able to get on the train..which is already full. How do you suggest people get to work? I get and understand growth needs to happen. This is just an unreasonable plan. This seems almost as if you don't see us as people out here. We have names, faces, lives. Please please veto!	6/14/2018 9:50 PM
171	This is way too much density and we all know that this is a money-making ploy that will only help a few. This is not the kind of community that any of us moved out here for. If our population is growing too fast we need to create more manageable growth. Maybe we don't need to attract every business under the sun here. I'm not saying we don't want a healthy economy but it needs to be manageable. Maybe we should create more incentives for businesses to allow telecommuting options to at least help with traffic.	6/14/2018 9:49 PM
172	Infrastructure, schools, water, pollution, crime, power, sewer, taxes, traffic, poor planning on the council's part, the fact that this was a secret EVEN from the local mayors! What are NOT my concerns.	6/14/2018 9:49 PM
173	Not enough businesses or roads built to accommodate travel to downtown or east west.	6/14/2018 9:48 PM
174	Is it really needed. Where are the studies that back it up? What about transportation, education, water, public utilities, police, etc. so many unanswered questions.	6/14/2018 9:47 PM
175	Everything. No regard for community input. Our city does not have the infrastructure to support 30,000 new residents. Not enough schools to support the growth.	6/14/2018 9:46 PM
176	Roads are already too crowded and it takes us forever to get to work and get home. Schools are overcrowded. We moved to Herriman to enjoy the open space that was left and now it is almost all Gone. There are only homes in Herriman. Very little commercial and our property taxes are so high! The crime rate has already gone up here and now with this high density plan it's going to get worse. I hate that no one is out riding horses anymore, we have lost our roots. Olympia is not a good idea. It will go in one of the last beautiful parts of Herriman.	6/14/2018 9:46 PM
177	I have so many concerns. First, what is the plan for traffic? The current infrastructure cannot possibly support a development with this population. What about schools? The crime rate? Pollution in our area? There isn't a plan to address the lack of preparation that has gone into this, even before the proposal. I believe it is about money and greed right now. It will negatively impact all of us within the near vicinity and will be a cause for me to move outside of Salt Lake County. The county must plan better before implementing such a drastic development. (Although with the proposed density, it would be cause for me to move anyway. It's ridiculous.)	6/14/2018 9:46 PM
178	Lack of planning. Build the infrastructure FIRST. There's already excessive high density in Herriman, Riverton and South Jordan and a lot more being built. We need roads and mass transit FIRST. NOW!	6/14/2018 9:46 PM
179	Against it, the possible commute, crime, crowded schools, and over all crowded community.	6/14/2018 9:46 PM
180	I have worked in Herriman as an elementary school teacher for 8 years. Each year has brought tremendous growth and over crowding to the 2 schools I've worked at. I'm all for affordable housing...without it I would have never been able to be a homeowner (I own a townhome). But doing so much, so quickly puts a major strain on everything. You have to put the kids somewhere, and they don't build schools as quickly as homes. This project needs to be simplified, and thought of from all standpoints. Again, I'm all for growth, but within reason that considers the current situation and how it will be impacted.	6/14/2018 9:44 PM
181	The lack of infrastructure to support the vast increase in the population. Traffic heading East/West in this area is already ridiculous at Rush Hour. I can't turn left out of my own neighborhood on 12600 South. It is frustrating to think that they want to add so many more people and add to the traffic. The schools can't yet support such a drastic increase in the population. Our property values are a concern, as is the crime rate. We already have plenty of high-density housing being built in our area. We certainly can't support a development of this size.	6/14/2018 9:41 PM
182	My biggest concerns and those at the meeting were that the 35 people per acre population could increase the following difficulties our area is already seeing: -Diminishing open space - overcrowded schools -transportation -pollution -crime -many more I would like our county government to represent this area and it's needs. Thank you!	6/14/2018 9:40 PM

## Olympia Hills

183	Lack of infrastructure, traffic, crime, schools for our children. Long term issues with density housing and low income housing without resources to support employment, like transportation. Damage to surrounding parks and recreation paid for by other cities due to a lack of parks and rec provided in this development. Im concerned this development lacked community involvement, lacked any public disclosure and commenting, and recieved open opposition from neighboring cities which were ignored by the county. The salt lake city only mentality of the county is staggering. There are lots of county residents out here... do we matter at all? Youve marginalized some of the youngest and most diverse communities the county has and have told us that the way of the future is a future we believe may describe downtown slc but not rural herriman	6/14/2018 9:40 PM
184	Over crowding schools Crime Transportation from all the extra people. Trying to go anywhere east to west is already difficult.	6/14/2018 9:37 PM
185	I believe this development is a mistake. Putting this much high density so far out with already crowded roads is a disaster. If we need more high density, put it downtown, or off of interstate 15. Our roads, and utilities are already so underdeveloped in this area of the valley, adding this many homes in this small of a space is a crazy act. Utah should be smarter and better than this! Grow responsibly please! We beg you! Don't do this to our beloved valley!	6/14/2018 9:36 PM
186	Overcrowded schools and roads	6/14/2018 9:33 PM
187	So many things. Crime, the Herriman property owner footing the bill.	6/14/2018 9:31 PM
188	The traffic, not enough schools, and there will be more apartments and condos rather than actual homes with some type of yard at least. This is not ok	6/14/2018 9:29 PM
189	Roads and Access. No plans for schools. Too little commercial for the proposed density.	6/14/2018 9:29 PM
190	There are too many residents planned to live in an area with very few roads to get there. Our roads are already too crowded. I'm concerned also that this is a ploy to freak us out with a huge number and "settle" to a more reasonable number even though we don't want high density housing at all. Why can't you just build simple single-family homes? I know it's not economic for builders, but that is not what the main focus should be. The main focus should be to build our city well.	6/14/2018 9:27 PM
191	Traffic	6/14/2018 9:26 PM
192	High density housing and its effects on the city's infrastructure, traffic, schools, etc.	6/14/2018 9:20 PM
193	It's already so crowded here in Herriman. I live right off Butterfield Parkway and and seeing everyone going in and out of the Park is so crazy. I think we would need more major roads. I know this is a growing community but I don't like how crazy it is out here already! My vote is definitely NO!!!	6/14/2018 9:20 PM
194	No open spaces, too many apartments, bringing in people with no community involvement and crime. Higher taxes to support this gigantic community, higher volume of traffic, not enough schools to support the growth.	6/14/2018 9:14 PM
195	My concerns are threefold: One-Herriman does not have the infrastructure of schools, business, employment opportunities, transportation, water, and other resources to support a development even half the size of the proposed Olympia Hills Development. According to the residents that spoke at the council meeting, the infrastructure doesn't even support the development that already is and is shortly to come. The cart should not come before the horse. Two-It should have been a red flag to the Salt Lake County Council when The City leaders of Herriman and surrounding areas initially denied the proposal. My question is why did they not further look into the initial denial? The city leaders know much more about their own cities and should have been consulted before such a proposal was voted on. Three-As a mental health professional, I must raise attention to the increased stress, anxiety, and depression this development would bring to the current residents, as well as the residents of Olympia Hills. Increased traffic and limited resources would increase anyone's stress level. Not to mention, the over crowded schools would not be able to provide its students with support they both need and deserve. The state of Utah already holds the record of having more depressed people than most states. This development would only decrease quality of life and increase mental health issues that do not need to be made worse. For the sake of the people, PLEASE BE MINDFUL OF THE WELL BEING OF THE GOOD PEOPLE OF HERRIMAN! PLEASE VETO THIS PROPOSAL! Thank you for your time.	6/14/2018 9:14 PM
196	The traffic, the crime, too many people in a small area of acreage	6/14/2018 9:11 PM
197	Too many people in a somewhat quiet neighborhood/town.	6/14/2018 9:10 PM
198	it is not acceptable to drastically increase the amount of housing density in this area. The density does not conform to the surrounding areas and is not consistent with good planning efforts.	6/14/2018 9:10 PM

## Olympia Hills

199	Irresponsible and unsustainable growth, overcrowding, crime, inadequate infrastructure	6/14/2018 9:09 PM
200	Increased crime, student population, public service response times, and traffic, decreased quality of life, water, natural resources, and sustainability.	6/14/2018 9:08 PM
201	Too much density for the area and lack of infrastructure	6/14/2018 9:08 PM
202	The proposed population density per square acre is ~four (x4) times too high. It needs to be closer to 9 people, or less, per square acre. Not 33 people per square acre.	6/14/2018 9:07 PM
203	Primarily traffic, more taxes to pay for more schools	6/14/2018 9:05 PM
204	Too many residents, not enough land. Over populated. Strain on natural and community resources. Turning the beautiful Salt Lake Valley into a giant metropolis that doesn't belong.	6/14/2018 9:05 PM
205	This proposal has a ridiculous amount of density in a very small space. There are no plans for schools, very little commercial and the infrastructure can't handle this growth. This is a greedy money grab by Doug Young who could care less about the people this terrible development will attract. This will not help lower income families find affordable housing. Their housing may cost less but their transportation and childcare costs will skyrocket. The quality of life for anyone with a long commute drops significantly. I'm disappointed in our elected officials for passing this atrocity! Their actions will be remembered at election time.	6/14/2018 9:05 PM
206	Traffic, congestion, water and other resources.	6/14/2018 9:00 PM
207	The new development will have substantially higher population density than the surrounding communities. The current infrastructure can barely handle the current population. It certainly can't handle an increase of this size.	6/14/2018 8:59 PM
208	I support high density housing, but not on the outskirts of urban centers. In order to see the full environmental and social benefits of high density housing, it should be done at transit hubs and closer to working offices. I think the county should start by adding 1,000 units within a mile of all the Front Runner stations. When inner city areas are built in, then spreading to new areas further away can be pursued, but not before then.	6/14/2018 8:58 PM
209	You haven't planned for schools. Where are these kids going to go? The new high school by me is already full. How are we going to get around on the streets? Too much traffic already. You didn't think this through at all. Please stop this development totally. Take a closer look at the developer and what he has left behind in other towns that didn't get finished. We DON'T need that in this area. PLEASE LISTEN TO THE VOICE OF THE PEOPLE.	6/14/2018 8:58 PM
210	Too dense for current infrastructure to support. We loved in Daybreak for 9 years and that was too dense. This is way worse and will be a nightmare to live with in surrounding communities.	6/14/2018 8:58 PM
211	Increasing the population density beyond the neighboring communities is going to put a higher burden than the infrastructure can handle. It will also increase crime as the population increases.	6/14/2018 8:57 PM
212	Adding that amount of bodies to the already over populated Herriman area. Crime will increase as well as time getting in and out of Herriman	6/14/2018 8:56 PM
213	increased traffic on roads that cannot sustain more traffic, extremely overcrowded schools, money accepted by politicians from developer of project, increased property taxes, area resources cannot sustain such large increase of population explosion, enough high density housing built in area already, VETO and cancel the project or lose your job!	6/14/2018 8:55 PM
214	Increased traffic is my major concern. There is not enough infrastructure at the south end of the valley to handle that influx of vehicle traffic. I-15 from the Point to Sandy is ridiculously crowded most of the day, not to mention during peak rush hour. Neither it nor the feeder streets from the west valley area are designed for the current capacity of traffic let alone what this development would contribute. There is no good north-south corridor of the west side that can alleviate this.	6/14/2018 8:55 PM
215	We are already so crowded! The traffic is pitiful and roads were never intended for this many people. We have enough high density housing. I do not want my home to depreciate because Herriman will end up as a catch-all. We will already be out of room with schools once the new ones open...Herriman wasn't supposed to be wall to wall high density. You want something like that? Build your projects in Kearns. If it's supposed to be lovely then it should raise their home values...but Olympia isn't going to be raising mine. We are a family community. There are already way more townhouses than I feel comfortable with. No, no, no...if you want to build homes on a quarter acre, fantastic, but NO WAY am I okay with as additional 30k people! Our infrastructure simply cannot handle something like this and Utah hasn't learned how to do it without it all turning ghetto.	6/14/2018 8:54 PM

## Olympia Hills

216	I am absolutely opposed to the high density proposed. The infrastructure cannot support it. There was not adequate citizen or local leader input on this, and I am frankly disgusted that it was a strong majority vote in county council to approve this. It reeks of corruption.	6/14/2018 8:52 PM
217	I wasn't planning on making a statement tonight, but I feel it's imperative that I do to represent the silent voices: the youth, specifically those at Herriman High, and yes, I'm passionate about them because I was one, so yes, I will be emotionally invested in this issue. Seven years ago my family and I moved from a small southern Utah town due to my father's employment. We moved to Herriman because it had what appeared to be open spaces and we had heard this school had smaller class sizes than the other outlying schools. I was excited to be in a new school, this school, and make new friends. I entered school my junior year and quickly felt invisible and that feeling continued until my graduation five years ago. Those feelings are still permeating due to some experiences I had, and I'm reminded of them by walking in this school, but I feel passionate about this, so I'm here, Mr. Mayor. If I hadn't had an observant teacher and a wonderful school counselor, I wouldn't be making this statement today. The school was significantly smaller then and I was able to get the help I needed. Due to the student count, I don't think these kids are so lucky. Now, I don't think I need to remind everyone of the seven youth suicides that have happened this past year. I have siblings in middle and high school. I do not want them or any other child to feel invisible. My fear if the Olympia proposal goes through, more of our youth will be ignored, and will not get the help they need. I do feel that often government officials bypass what is needed for the children. Due to my experiences and those we've all seen in the last school year, I say to not do anything with the proposed land, but rather formulate a plan to have smaller class sizes, more mental health resources for our students, and/or more schools. Fix this problem rather than make it worse. Please, if anything, think of the children, not the county's pocketbook.	6/14/2018 8:48 PM
218	Way too much overcrowding. No infrastructure. Higher crime. Traffic issues. Housing depreciation. No voice of the people.	6/14/2018 8:46 PM
219	The amount of density. I'm not opposed to development, I'd just like to see less people per acre.	6/14/2018 8:43 PM
220	We don't have the room for 30,000 plus new residents. We don't have the roads, we don't have the schools, we don't have the water or resources either. The lack of planning is negligent!!! I don't want my child to be one of 50-60 kids in his class. I don't want to have to spend all the extra time stuck in traffic because 12600 South is only 2 lanes. How do you expect that many people to get to and from work daily?!?! The air quality is already really bad. Add that many more residents to our small valley and we will be able to eat the air. I know the idea of all that extra money is incredibly alluring but please, please, please, think of the future of our residents and especially the children!!!! My child, and all the other children in our corner of the valley, deserves to have a quality childhood and a quality education. Please do not rob them of this.	6/14/2018 8:42 PM
221	Increased traffic/congestion, increasing classroom overcrowding and need for more schools, need for more fire/police coverage with a decreased response time before the need is corrected. Just a few but all of these impact taxes and more likely a raise in my taxes that are already very high.	6/14/2018 8:39 PM
222	Traffic traffic traffic! After 14 years living here, I have seen the roads expanded several times. There is no where else to expand them too! I would really like to see what the plan is to hold all these cars. I'm also concerned about the schools and the emotional well-being of our children. We have all been affected by the suicide epidemic and our children feel lost in these huge, overcrowded schools.	6/14/2018 8:38 PM
223	Congestion and infrastructure deficiencies. It already takes 20 minutes to get from Bangarter to 5600 West in the evening. I can't imagine how long it will take with that many more people. Not to mention the school overcrowding.	6/14/2018 8:37 PM
224	Too many people!!! We already have way too many high density housing. The traffic is already bad.	6/14/2018 8:34 PM
225	over populated for the actual size we are, it'll bring a ton more traffic which we already have to much as it is	6/14/2018 8:34 PM
226	Increased traffic, overpopulation	6/14/2018 8:33 PM
227	My concern is the county's prioritization of development opportunities over infrastructure. I would like to see an infrastructure plan in place to which new development is subordinate.	6/14/2018 8:31 PM
228	High density low income housing, infrastructures not able to support the population as is - adding more is dangerous, traffic volume east to west is terrible, not considering the other populations in the area before making these decisions, not being able to have the safety support from police and fire, etc.	6/14/2018 8:30 PM

## Olympia Hills

229	The amount of growth placed forth in the Olympia development is beyond what this part of the valley can handle. The current layout of roads and mass transit is 20 years behind. It would take more time and money than I am willing to pay in taxes for this to happen. It is irresponsible and it is not good for anyone in this Valley. I understand the need for affordable housing, but it should be done correctly!!!	6/14/2018 8:29 PM
230	Bad plan, bad time, no studies, greased palms, too dense, this is the worst debacle to hit this valley since sin crete.	6/14/2018 8:28 PM
231	The lack of infrastructure to support it. The roads, public transit, water, electric, sewer and gas. The lack of funding for schools to support that level of high density.	6/14/2018 8:26 PM
232	Lack of infrastructure, greed, schools, tax increase, leaders not listening to citizens, future crime, feeling like I might need to move because I won't be able to make it to work in a reasonable time	6/14/2018 8:24 PM
233	Increased crime (not properly monitored or controlled), increased traffic, lowered property values of our current neighborhoods, social resources being used to limits and not being expanded or updated. Our voices are always ignored in favor of the city making money so... oh well.	6/14/2018 8:20 PM
234	Small size, huge density, crowded roads/traffic, law enforcement of a very dense area, lack of tax revenue to support so many people in a small area, water in the area, pretty much everything about it	6/14/2018 8:20 PM
235	Too much growth, too many people, land disappearing, too many houses too close together, just too much!!!	6/14/2018 8:19 PM
236	-Current gridlocked traffic and it will only make it worse. -Schools are over capacity and our youth suffer because of it. -Resources are limited, especially water. -Fatal intersections. Lights are not optimized for efficient traffic flow and ppl don't know how to drive to begin with. -There's nowhere for these ppl to work. Only minimum wage jobs in Herriman. They have to get into their cars and drive. No public transit so roads will be more cluttered. -need to impose a 2 child rule in salt lake valley to help control population	6/14/2018 8:19 PM
237	Infrastructure, future property values diminishing due to overcrowding with lower-income residents and likely increased crime. Overall desirability of the area falling drastically. Some townhomes are ok, but not too many. I'm not a fan of condos at all.	6/14/2018 8:17 PM
238	Impact on roads, schools other infrastructure.	6/14/2018 8:17 PM
239	Roads, school, density, crime, traffic. I urge to veto and ask that we build infrastructure to support current residents and then expand into outlining community's. Bring density down in communities more land.	6/14/2018 8:16 PM
240	Congestion, infrastructure, density, taxes, etc	6/14/2018 8:16 PM
241	I am concerned about the strain it will put on surrounding cities as none of those cities will see tax revenue to help with those strains. I am also concerned as the majority of places of employment in the valley are on the east side and there is not a good commuting option from the west to the east. I am fine with development but I am concerned at the sheer number of people they are trying to cram into a small place far away for the majority of resources.	6/14/2018 8:14 PM
242	Overcrowding, stress on city infrastructure, traffic, crime, schools	6/14/2018 8:13 PM
243	.	6/14/2018 8:08 PM
244	HDH crime	6/14/2018 8:06 PM
245	Traffic, water, crime that comes with density, lack of infrastructure to support the development. I'll vote against all of the politicians involved if they move forward without drastically lowering the density of this plan.	6/14/2018 8:04 PM
246	Way too many people in one small space and for no reason other than to make the most money as possible without thinking of the effect on the environment around it	6/14/2018 8:04 PM
247	The density. Overcrowding. Schools traffic crime no strong plan Decreasing property values	6/14/2018 8:03 PM

## Olympia Hills

248	I'm part of the growth we are discussing. I'm new to the area for the past three years. My husband works for one of the silicone slope companies. I live in Daybreak, a master planned community. I love how smart the layout is. The way houses are laid out with small lots makes small yards and save water. There are benefits to it. However. Daybreak is not nearly as dense as the proposed community. We need businesses out west. We need community spaces. We need reasons to keep traffic from being so one directional and stop people from all living in the West but working in the East. Single family homes are more desirable. There are enough apartments. We need more single family homes to affect the supply and demand problem our county faces. Commute is over an hour with public transit for seven miles for my husband. We don't have the infrastructure for such a high density development.	6/14/2018 8:02 PM
249	HDH	6/14/2018 8:01 PM
250	Over crowded schools, roads and strain on police force.	6/14/2018 8:01 PM
251	I just spent 9 days in Chicago. I rode the L train and busses for 9 days. I loved the eclectic neighborhoods, the diversity and the culture. But, I was able to enjoy all of this because of infrastructure. I could hop on a bus, a water taxi or a train in a heartbeat. It was wonderful. Where is your plan? Two roads? 12400 and 13400 does not support your plan. People with a lower income need access to infrastructure to get needed services. How are they getting to work, the doctor, groceries etc? I want this vetoed. Stop using the west side and ignoring us. Figure out infrastructure and make it extensive before you add the density. Listen to your constituents please!	6/14/2018 8:00 PM
252	We do not have the structure in place to support this high of a density. We have a one lane road filled with pot holes and don't even have sidewalks for our kids right now. It is a 45 Mom drive to get from I-15 and bangerter to home right now with the current population. We don't have the resources to support this and if this goes through it is based on greed and corruption.	6/14/2018 7:59 PM
253	Over crowded schools, traffic, over crowded city events, overcrowded parks, no open space.	6/14/2018 7:59 PM
254	To many people to little space; lack of infrastructure; negative impact on property values; negative impact on overall economy; the future unavailability of single family homes; DIRTY POLITICS	6/14/2018 7:58 PM
255	Stress on infrastructure and will be too crowded. Id seriously consider moving.	6/14/2018 7:57 PM
256	Too dense, traffic is already terrible in this area, schools are very full and the post office is drowning.	6/14/2018 7:56 PM
257	One of the biggest things I have heard from my kids at herriman high is that they "just feel like a number." There are far far too many kids there and Suicide scare happening in direct correlation to these kids feeling like they don't matter. Bringing that many new residents in will only compound the problem in our schools. In addition to that, we simply do not have the infrastructure to maintain that many people in this city. No roads. Not enough water. Not enough power. Before any more high density housing is approved we must take care of these things.	6/14/2018 7:56 PM
258	Over-crowded schools. Traffic. The number of units per acre, I understand we need affordable housing, doesn't mean the Southwest corner of the valley has to house everyone.	6/14/2018 7:54 PM
259	Traffic congestion,over crowded schools,crime,decreased property values,loss of open space,loss of farm lands,lack of sufficient water and sewer capabilities.	6/14/2018 7:54 PM
260	Traffic and overcrowded schools. Overcrowding in general	6/14/2018 7:54 PM
261	Size and speed. Impact to surrounding areas	6/14/2018 7:53 PM
262	Crime, traffic issues, over population with lack of sufficient resources.	6/14/2018 7:51 PM
263	We are consistently moving further and further away from what I think drew so many people to Herriman in the first place. They were allowing large lot sizes, allowing parks and walkways all around, allowing multiple shopping centers for the residents to have options to stay in their city and enjoy a night out. Now the lots are smaller than ever, they are turning from single family homes and the occassional town home group to if you have a yard behind your town home you are considered lucky. More and more condos. PLUS the traffic is horrific and will only continue to get worse if a project like Olympia were to go through. Bring the businesses back and lets earn that tax revenue from them instead of having Riverton get it all. Stop stuffing your pockets and fucking over the people who put you in office and think about the "oath" you took to serve and how passing something like this through will only harm the city.	6/14/2018 7:51 PM
264	Traffic, crowded schools, pollution and water	6/14/2018 7:50 PM
265	Additional traffic on already saturated roads.	6/14/2018 7:49 PM

## Olympia Hills

266	Over taxing the infrastructure currently in place. Trying to putarge pooulations in ridiculous areas, ie the edge of the valley with no transit plans.	6/14/2018 7:49 PM
267	Density, infrastructure, crime	6/14/2018 7:48 PM
268	First, a question: Given that there is only so much water, and that there are already myriad redistribution schemes out there threatening to overallocate the resource, how are we to sustain the kind of growth that would justify a development like Olympia Hills? Second, a point about the relationship between government and real estate developers in this state. Developers are presumably aware of zoning before they buy property. If someone acquires undevelopable property or property where zoning doesn't permit their preferred use, it is not the proper role of an ethical government to bail them out of their bad investment, so that they may reap private profits, at expense of the general public. I sincerely wish all of our elected representatives would keep that in mind.	6/14/2018 7:47 PM
269	Traffic, over crowding of already at capacity schools, increases in taxes, decreasing home values due to high density housing, and utilities unable to support the absurd amount of people	6/14/2018 7:47 PM
270	I think tjis was very poorly thought considering how many people it would put in one area. Also there are many other developments in this area going on and in the works with no thought for infrastructure to support it. Why put such a densely populated area on the edge of the county with no freeway etc.? I don't mind growth but it needs better planning.	6/14/2018 7:46 PM
271	Traffic, infrastructure, crime, strained utilities and public services, over all density and potential for issues related to piling people in as deep as you can. There are only two ways out of that area, that has to be a big concern especially for emergencies.	6/14/2018 7:46 PM
272	Over population, crowded schools, congested roads because they are not big enough for what we already have.	6/14/2018 7:45 PM
273	It should not occur with such large hdh ideas.	6/14/2018 7:44 PM
274	Crime, property values, safety.	6/14/2018 7:44 PM
275	The process; lack of regard for the wishes of the residents; Current traffic infrastructure will not support this massive HDH development. Schools not able to support this level of growth. The Crime that this quantity of lower-income housing will bring.	6/14/2018 7:42 PM
276	Roads cannot handle existing traffic. Schools can't handle this population. Seems a cramming event to satisfy builder interests, rather than planning for community needs & community best interests	6/14/2018 7:41 PM
277	Lack of infrastructure,responsible planning, and the amount of density per acre.	6/14/2018 7:41 PM
278	High density housing-roads and infrastructure and schools can't handle the number of people.	6/14/2018 7:39 PM
279	Attempts to approve without public input. To much high density housing for a small area. Mayor taking donation from intended developer conflict of interest on part of mayor mcAdams	6/14/2018 7:38 PM
280	I believe it should go through as orginally planned. People seem to forget just how much food a thriving economy ia	6/14/2018 7:38 PM
281	Too much high density too quickly. Not enough infrastructure in place to hold a "subcity" like that.	6/14/2018 7:38 PM
282	Densities are unheard of in Salt Lake Valley. If we're going to green light this kind of development, it should be in a central location, near existing infrastructure, not on an edge of the valley.	6/14/2018 7:37 PM
283	I am a Herriman resident and just went through this similar situation less than a year ago. SL County elected officials don't live on a bubble and had to know that the OVERWHELMING MAJORITY of residents (not just Herriman residents) opposed the proposed plan. Why would you even entertain the idea of such high density, especially after the firestorm in Herriman less than a year ago? You say that you represent the citizens and are our public servants, but your actions show otherwise. We need a reasonable plan with similar average density of what we currently have. We need total transparency throughout this plan. We should not all be sitting here at this Town Hall meeting voicing our opposition to the plan. We should have never gotten this far.	6/14/2018 7:36 PM
284	Too many people coming to this wonderful community. Horrible roads for that much traffic. I moved here two years ago and purposely came to Herriman bc it wasn't as busy as other communities. I work in daybreak, but chose to not live there because of the high density and how ridiculous it is to drive there. It is so unsafe.	6/14/2018 7:31 PM

## Olympia Hills

285	It's going to turn the Southwest Valley into the projects in years to come. Not enough infrastructure to handle current growth let alone more high density housing. Water and garbage is a high concern as well.	6/14/2018 7:30 PM
286	To high density!!!! Crazy!!!!	6/14/2018 7:28 PM
287	All the issues that high density brings; crime, traffic, support of fire and police, water shortage, pollution, over crowded schools, and lowered quality of life. The developer has shown a lack of concern for the needs and consequences on neighboring communities. I am all for a business park along Hwy 111 but the housing needs to better align with the community around it and not negatively impact it.	6/14/2018 7:24 PM
288	The density is unreasonable. The roads can't handle the density. The schools can't handle the density. Basically nothing here is set up to handle that density. 1/3 that density is pushing it, let along how much they are doing.	6/14/2018 7:21 PM
289	I am most concerned about infrastructure for transportation and for schools. The schools in Herriman are already overcrowded and I don't see how the school district can keep up with this type of dense growth. It is also not clear to me how transportation congestion will be addressed, especially for east/west traffic. Can more detailed plans for transportation and schools be made available?	6/14/2018 7:19 PM
290	I am concerned about the impact on the existing infrastructure - roads, sewer, water, etc. The roads are already congested. Without significant investment in increasing road capacity it will become entirely unmanaeable. However, I'm also concerned about government encroachment on private property rights. How will you balance the needs of existing citizens against the rights of the private property owners?	6/14/2018 7:19 PM
291	I currently live in Herriman off 12600 S. One of the things I keep hearing why we need this high density development is for more affordable housing. This won't happen with this new development. There are new town homes being built in my neighborhood with a starting price of \$240,000. That is NOT affordable. When we were building our house we looked into apartments to stay while our house was being built, they wanted \$1600 for a 3 bedroom apartment, that is NOT affordable. Also, we do not not have the infrastructure to support that many people. I recently drove down 13400 S last weekend. There was a tiny accident just past Mountain View. I got stuck in 20 minutes of traffic just from Bangater to Mountain View. That was just for a tiny accident. Imagine if there was another fire in Herriman. We could be stuck and not able to exit because of all the people living out here.	6/14/2018 7:17 PM
292	Schools systems: Herriman High School students have had a rough year of devastating suicides. When speaking with counselors and teachers they say that the students feel lost in the gigantic population at the high school. There is not enough adults per students to make that ONE important connection that students need be successful at school. Now you want to add more students? How is that helpful? All I can see is more students getting lost in the crowds. Students are our future. Kids should be our number one concern in this Society we are living in today. Please do not add to the overcrowding of our schools.	6/14/2018 7:12 PM
293	Simple. The density level is unrealistic. No infrastructure to support.	6/14/2018 7:10 PM
294	It will bring way to many people to the southwest corner of the valley. I have lived in the Herriman area since 2001. I used to love the country feel living out here. Yes I know that growth is to be expected, but what our city leaders have done to this city is sickening. The high density they have put in has changed the original feel of the city, it has brought more crime, the schools are beyond overcrowded, and the way the are funding this all is ridiculous. Our property taxes are insane. We own a home in riverton and one in Herriman. It is astronomical how much the herriman's property taxes have gone up over the years compared to the riverton one. The traffic getting out here is ridiculous. We have been here for multiple wildfires where evacuations have been ordered and it is crazy trying to exit the city in an emergency. All these things are due to the enormous growth happening without the infrastructure to support it. Now they want to add 33,000 more people on top of the problems were are already suffering with. It is disheartening to watch what has taken place here in this once wonderful place to live. I am truly disappointed in the political leaders we have representing us on a city and county level	6/14/2018 7:07 PM
295	I understand Ben McAdams took \$10,000 from the developers of Olympia project for his campaign. How much did each councilman take from the developers of Olympia project for their campaign?	6/14/2018 7:06 PM
296	Density per acre. Traffic	6/14/2018 7:05 PM

## Olympia Hills

297	I understand, as do almost everyone, that growth will happen. We are not opposed to housing here in Herriman. But build single family homes. NO HIGH DENSITY. Build single family homes. Build single family homes. Build single family homes!!!!	6/14/2018 6:58 PM
298	High density housing, water, roads, traffic, schools	6/14/2018 6:56 PM
299	I don't have concerns in regard to the development. The County Council made a wise decision in its zoning changes. Salt Lake County's population is anticipated to double. Suburban, low density sprawl eats more land than houses individuals and familoes, resulting in more land needing to be swallowed to accommodate the populations need. Low density development, such as the majority of the homes in SLCo costs tax payers more due to the heightened infrastructure costs needed to deliver resources farther and father away. Greater density in housing units is what Utah needs if we care about open space, and efficient utilizatiob of taxpayer money. The development must be paired with active transportation elements and other transportation options to mitigate traffic congestion. Mixed uses of residential and commercial should also be heavily considered when developing this large area of land.	6/14/2018 6:54 PM
300	No infrastructure in place to handle this type of population. Not close to mass transit, upgrades on Bangerter overpasses in this area are not done. The Population density is too high. It will add to crime and strain the resources in this area. There are better areas closer to mass transit and major transportation hubs like I 15. There are no good east / west roads in this area. 13400 s, 12600 s and 11800 s are not big enough to handle the traffic. Thanks	6/14/2018 6:53 PM
301	Where is the water that will be needed to fill the needs of the new development. How much will the needed infrastructure cost ALL Salt Lake County residents? What accommodations will be made for the new schools that Jordan School District will need to construct? Will there be new taxes there, as well? What are you going to do about the already jammed roads when all these new people start driving the streets? How much will enlarging I15 cost to accommodate this new development? East-West traffic is already a mess. You propose to make North-South traffic, already a nightmare, even worse? What will you do to mitigate the pollution that the increased traffic will produce?These are only a few of my objections to this new development.	6/14/2018 6:52 PM
302	Too many units planned for the infrastructure, water, schools.	6/14/2018 6:49 PM
303	Too many people in an area with horrible east-west access! It is incredibly difficult to cross the valley east to west or vice-versa right now, add another 33,000people out west of Herriman, and it will become utter and total gridlock!	6/14/2018 6:49 PM
304	I understand the need for more housing in the Salt Lake Valley but it must be done responsibly. The proposed density is not responsible. If, as stated, they are proposing a Daybreak type development then the density shouldn't be greater than that of Daybreak. Please think of those this will be directly affecting. Thank you.	6/14/2018 6:49 PM

## Olympia Hills

305	<p>1-Growth population- Herriman's growth population for 2020 is about 27,000. We were at 40,000 in 2017 and will almost have 50,000 residents by the end of this summer. 2040 was projected to have 50,000 residents. As you can see projections are not always accurate. Our roads can't keep up with the growth. Although Richard said it will happen over 25 years, I know that will not be the case. We can barely keep up with what we have because we were not supposed to have 50,000 residents for another 20 years... Yes growth is inevitable but responsibility is key. 2-Infrastructure- Because we are growing so fast and have so many utility, work, and cement trucks etc, our roads are suffering. Mountain View is getting broken down from all the heavy traffic and our little asphalt side roads are having more and more issues because of the constant wear and tear from these trucks. Build the better roads first! 3-Quality of life and Emergency evacuation- I agree with you Richard that USU would be a great addition to this side of the valley. This side of the valley needs other things than houses. We have land, so make it a great sports area for the county to have lacrosse and rugby etc. There are no designated fields for these growing sports. The open areas help break up the house after house after house around here. I do question evacuation ability. I can't get from A to B during 5 o'clock very fast. IF there were ever an emergency and we had to get out quickly there would be no way to safely get everyone out. I heard an analogy at a meeting that was great. They referred to SLC and I 15 as the heart and main artery of the county and all the cities surrounding it were capillaries. If you build so much in this one area you will blow the capillary. As you move more west and East you should lessen the flow of traffic. 4-Affordable housing: It is expensive to live out here. Building more townhomes isn't a good answer to 'affordable' The townhomes across the street are starting in the \$300s. That is not affordable to young couples looking for housing. Although townhomes, condos, apartments etc. is something Envision Utah thinks is a good solution to our housing problem and what 'millennials' want, I disagree. There needs to be a mix. I lived in an apartment and a townhome when we were first married but we wished for much better things in our home. Land and a yard were something we wanted for our kids. There is a balance that needs to be considered. We are loosing lots of families to Bluffdale and neighboring cities because they have larger lots to build on. They live in our HDH areas for short time and then leave. We want to give them options to stay. There are still people who enjoy space, not being so close to their neighbors, and not having to hear everything that happens with their neighbors that are attached to them next door. The thing with developments that have lots of HDH is they will turn into the ghetto eventually. It will be years down the road but that is inevitable. The balance and ability to move into a bigger home when you are able to and have starter apartments etc, is crucial to a good community. This is one issue we pushed with our referendum against Herriman City. The balance was 80% homes in .15 or less acre lots on 2 of the developments that were being discussed. That is not balance. One of the developers was Doug Young. We worked hard with Doug to get a good product for this area, which is directly east of the 900 acres. It can be done if you have a vision for what will fit in this land and area on the west side. When working with city officials and the developer, please keep this in mind as it will affect all of us.</p>	6/14/2018 6:47 PM
306	To dense of population. Traffic, crime...	6/14/2018 6:44 PM
307	Level of density, especially being so far from the jobs people hold. The increase in traffic and pollution, especially with the lack of east/west infrastructure, will be catastrophic to nearby communities and the entire valley.	6/14/2018 6:44 PM
308	There is already more than enough residential development on the west side of the valley! More than can reasonably be handled and sustained. We do not need more crowding in our already over-crowded schools. We do not need more traffic on our already over-crowded roads. We need resources to sustain the growth we already have. My kids shouldn't have to go to an elementary school with over 1100 students, and a middle school and high school bursting with students. Stop cramming in the residential development already!	6/14/2018 6:43 PM
309	The development is not consistent with the communities ideals. South Valley plan should not have been changed without input. Lack of community input. Stresses out our currently taxed infrastructure.	6/14/2018 6:42 PM
310	Overpopulation, traffic, lack of services, emergency needs, low income housing, inability to support current population, no one asked for our input at all	6/14/2018 6:42 PM
311	The extremely high population size for this area and not having infrastructure to support it. The lack of schools, sewer, police, fire, water, acceptable road ways are not even close to being adequate and may never be for that amount of population. There is no public transportation to accommodate this population. The irresponsible nature of this project is unacceptable to all Salt lake county constituents. It is also not fair to increase taxes on the citizens for a development that only benefits the developer.	6/14/2018 6:42 PM

## Olympia Hills

312	Lack of community input and there is not enough infrastructure for the development proposed. Oh did I say lack of community input.	6/14/2018 6:40 PM
313	There is already way too much high and medium density housing in our are with a ton still under construction. Not enough roads to accommodate the population as it is now. If this development ever gets approved, there should be a Trac line through the middle of it and extremely high parking costs so residents cannot own cars	6/14/2018 6:39 PM
314	The type of housing units and lack of infrusture such as roads to accommodate such a dense population. We moved to Herriman because of the large lots and wanted to be in that type of community. Olympia hills is not the type of community that we invisioned to be in our community.	6/14/2018 6:38 PM
315	Not nearly enough importance has been given to the impact of surrounding residents! Traffic, utilities, open space, none of this has been adequately addressed. What are the plans to handle all of these concerns in a way that existing residents can accept?	6/14/2018 6:36 PM
316	This is unacceptable. Two massive, high density developments in the west side of the valley is not acceptable. Stop! There is not enough infrastructure to support this kind of development. The roads, schools, water resources and more are already tight, and there is already enough projected growth. The west side of the valley can't handle, and shouldn't have to take the whole population growth expected in the SL valley. There is land other places that could be used for this kind of thing. Keep it out of the west side of the valley. We already have enough high density that we can't keep up with as is on our roads, and in our classrooms at schools, etc.	6/14/2018 6:35 PM
317	Traffic. Not enough outlets to accommodate. Additional crime that comes with that many more people. Not enough resources, like water.	6/14/2018 6:34 PM
318	The proposed housing density will put a significant burden on our schools, roads and other infrastructure and not generate sufficient tax revenue to compensate for the burden leaving existing home owners to make up the difference. This is a hidden tax that feeds the housing crisis not a solution for it.	6/14/2018 6:34 PM
319	Not only is it extremely over crowded, but the infrastructure is not in place for the development already planned for this area. If you look at the density of Olympia Hills compared to cities on the West side, it is vastly out of proportion. You increase a population that intensely, you automatically bring in higher crime, lower incomes, more student population and more vehicles. We do not have the infrastructure to handle this proposed development. It is irresponsible to approve anything close to this.	6/14/2018 6:32 PM
320	Too much, too fast. Infrastructure currently does not hold the capacity to handle such growth and plans for that aspect of the development are vague. I bought in Creekr ridge recently with hopes of getting away from city life and now will be engulfed by it if plans proceed as planned. This is a project that impacts way too many people to not take their concerns into account.	6/14/2018 6:32 PM
321	My major concern is the traffic nightmare that will happen trying to move that many more people east and west.	6/14/2018 6:31 PM
322	Not efficient roads/travel, infrastructure. It is already crazy heading west with the amount of people as is. Too many people in such a small space. Not enough retail for that amount of people. Takes away the small town feel, the reason why we moved to this area!!	6/14/2018 6:31 PM
323	I'm concerned about the lack of infrastructure (roads, schools, water). I'd like to see a mix of lot sizes. We need to think about what type of community we want, not just how much tax revenue we can take in.	6/14/2018 6:31 PM
324	The amount of people this will bring to the west side of the valley. These builders come in and build large high density housing and then we are left to pay the tax increases to build the infra structure. The school districts don't have the money to build schools as quickly as they are building houses and our kids are left with overcrowded schools and not enough teachers.	6/14/2018 6:31 PM
325	Water (already insufficient) Congestion Infrastructure Ongoing costs and tax increases Building tomorrows slums today - right next door! It is the area's existing families that will suffer the ongoing consequences of bad decisions like this!	6/14/2018 6:29 PM

## Olympia Hills

---

326	<p>The density of this development is far too great. What roads will this drastic increase in population use? There are not enough roads to handle this much east/west traffic. What will this do to our schools? The developer can come in and make his money, and leave those of us that actually live out here to deal with the mess and increased taxes to build the infrastructure. The developers should be required to make sure that's in place before they can build, and help pay for it! This end of the valley doesn't need to take ALL the growth of salt lake county! I understand the need for growth, but this is too much, too fast! Start listening to your constituents instead of being bought by the developers that donate to your campaigns.</p>	6/14/2018 6:23 PM
327	<p>Traffic, Infrastructure, aesthetics. With this development proposing 37 people per acre, I have serious concerns seeing as how the average of all the boroughs of NYC is 42.2 people per acre. We don't have the mass transit, or infrastructure in place to maintain this. Besides that there would have to be very little green space which would give the appearance of a major metro in a suburb. Not acceptable. Daybreak is fairly close and on top of each other and they are at only 11 people per acre. This is something that should have been thought about and never allowed to progress to this point.</p>	6/14/2018 6:16 PM
328	<p>Too high of density No plans to address infrastructure concerns for growth of that size Too few single family homes Our leaders pushed this through without listening to concerns of citizens Herriman will lose the quiet, peaceful feel Increased crime</p>	6/14/2018 6:08 PM
329	<p>test</p>	6/14/2018 10:41 AM

---