



SLHCC Membership Meeting Minutes 4/19/18

In attendance: Brad Clemens, Anne Burkholder, Amy Russell, Raelynn Longhat, Karen Kuipers, Ed Varley, Jess Van Noy, Annie Dayton, Sandra Hollins, Mina Koplín, Preston Cochrane, Jen Campbell, Zach Bale, Sarah Strang, Staci Stephens, Rob Wesemann, Ashley Barker Tolman Shuler

1. Welcome & Introductions – Rob
Rob welcomed the group and meeting attendees introduced themselves.
2. Approval of February Minutes – Rob
Tabled until the next meeting
3. HMIS Steering Committee Representation – Rob/Ashley
SLCoC Currently has four votes on the HMIS Steering Committee; at present one voting position is entirely vacant and a second position is being filled by an alternate voting member. Please email nominations for new HMIS Steering Committee members by next Wednesday, April 25th. The CoC will send a call for nominations via email as well as a request for an electronic vote.
4. Legislative Audit Update – Rob
The Salt Lake CoC worked with leadership from the other two CoCs to respond to the legislative auditor's subpoena for a copy of the HMIS database. The CoCs were able to come to an agreement with the legislative auditor general that would allow auditors to receive a copy of the HMIS database without client names, social security numbers and addresses. There will be two audits coming out. The first will be focused on The Road Home and will be released mid-May. Shelter the Homeless Board is considering extending the review timeline of the resource center RFP to allow time for the second audit to be conducted and released.
5. Listening Session Follow-up – Tara
Tara was excused from the meeting. Rob briefly reviewed the intent and happenings of the March listening session. He discussed the benefit and possibility of holding additional sessions like this in the future.
6. PIT/HIC Preliminary Data Review – TBD



The Point in Time (PIT) and housing inventory count (HIC) submission deadline is at the end of April. Veteran and CH sub-populations go through a specialized verification process. The HMIS team asked CoCs to revisit the matrix used to verify CH numbers, but due to the limited timeframe the board recommended the CoC hold off on changing methodology until after the 2018 count has been submitted. In future years, CoC board and membership should expect to see preliminary data in advance of submission.

7. Governance Structure Model & Collaborative Applicant Placement Update – Rob

Rob walked through a power point presentation outlining identified problems with the current CoC structure and introducing possible changes. He solicited feedback from the group on these ideas. The group expressed that the location of the collaborative applicant is a critical piece and should have a significant impact on the governance structure. It was noted that increased responsibility placed on the SLHCC would need to be met with commensurate staffing and capacity building. Additional concern was raised about ensuring providers continue to have a strong voice in any CoC board structure. Under the proposed changes this would be present both on the board and through task groups. The group also discussed the possibility of the SLHCC taking a role in coordinated entry oversight and applying for related funds that could simultaneously support organizational capacity building. The intent of these proposed changes is to be more inclusive and forward thinking in ways that stabilize and support our community's goals around ending homelessness.

Next steps:

The question around placement of the collaborative applicant is on a faster timeframe. Salt Lake County originally asked for a recommendation at the end of March, but is supportive of an extended timeline to allow CoC leadership to vet these ideas with the membership. CoC board leadership will have subsequent discussions with the board and meetings with Salt Lake County to flesh out potential funding structures and transition timelines.

The next steps on other proposed portions of the CoC governance structure are to begin fleshing out some of these items in an updated governance charter and bring it back to the board and membership for subsequent review and further discussion.