

**Local Scoring Guidelines: Renewal TH, PH-RRH, and PH-PSH with an APR  
FY2016 HUD CoC Competition**

Threshold Requirements:

- Match at 25% for eligible activities; include letters
- Leverage at 150% for eligible activities; include letters
- As applicable, participate in the most recent PIT, HIC, and AHAR
- Meet all requirements listed in FY2016 HUD CoC NOFA (part of esnaps review)

Projects which meet all of the above threshold requirements will be scored according to the following guidelines:

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA
<b>15 %</b>	<b>Alignment</b>	How well does the program align with HUD and USICH guidance? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Program Best Practices</li> <li>• Opening Doors Goals and HUD Homeless Policy Priorities</li> </ul>
<b>15 %</b>	<b>Compliance</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Certifications in prior year applications</li> <li>• Participant Eligibility Recordkeeping</li> <li>• Participation in Coordinated Assessment</li> </ul>
<b>10%</b>	<b>Budget</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drawdown rate</li> <li>• Expenditure History</li> <li>• Use of HUD Dollars</li> <li>• Audit review</li> </ul>
<b>10%</b>	<b>Data Quality</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agency Data Quality Standards</li> </ul>
<b>15 %</b>	<b>Need</b>	How well does the program demonstrate it is needed locally? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization</li> <li>• Hard to Serve Populations               <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Percentage of entries with no income</li> <li>○ % entries disabled</li> <li>○ % entries literally homeless</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<b>35%</b>	<b>Performance</b>	How well does the program help the community improve system performance measures? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Returns to Homelessness</li> <li>• Exits to Permanent Housing</li> <li>• Increased income</li> </ul>

Penalty assessed if all parts of application are not on time, accurate, and complete

**FY2016 HUD CoC Competition  
Local Scoring Guidelines: Renewal PH-RRH, and PH-PSH without an APR**

Threshold Requirements:

- Match at 25% for eligible activities; include letters
- Leverage at 150% for eligible activities; include letters
- As applicable, participate in the most recent PIT, HIC, and AHAR
- Meet all requirements listed in FY2016 HUD CoC NOFA (part of esnaps review)

Projects which meet all of the above threshold requirements will be scored according to the following guidelines:

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA	
15 %	<b>Alignment</b>	How well does the program align with HUD and USICH guidance? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Program Best Practices</li> <li>• Opening Doors Goals and HUD Homeless Policy Priorities</li> </ul>	
15 %	<b>Compliance</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Certifications in prior year applications</li> <li>• Participant Eligibility Recordkeeping</li> <li>• Participation in Coordinated Assessment</li> </ul>	
10%	<b>Budget</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Drawdown rate</li> <li>• Expenditure History</li> <li>• Use of HUD Dollars</li> <li>• Audit review</li> </ul>	
10%	<b>Data Quality</b>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Agency Data Quality Standards</li> </ul>	
		<b>Option 1 (for projects operating for 3+ months)</b>	<b>Option 2 (for projects operating less than 3 months)</b>
15 %	<b>Need</b>	<b>Operating Year to Date Data</b> How well does the program demonstrate it is needed locally? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization</li> <li>• Hard to Serve Populations                             <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>○ Percentage of entries with no income</li> <li>○ % entries disabled</li> <li>○ % entries literally homeless</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	Narrative about population served, outreach efforts, what hole this fills in our current system
35%	<b>Performance</b>	<b>Operating Year to Date Data</b> How well does the program help the community improve system performance measures? <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Returns to Homelessness</li> <li>• Exits to Permanent Housing</li> <li>• Increased income</li> </ul>	Narrative about operationalizing the program <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Timeline, partners, expected start date</li> </ul> Narrative Plans to work with clients regarding <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Returns to homelessness</li> <li>• Exits to PH</li> <li>• Increased income</li> </ul>

Penalty assessed if all parts of application are not on time, accurate, and complete

Weight	Criteria Category	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Point Range			Total
<b>Penalty</b>		<b>Late or incomplete application</b>	<b>ZoomGrants</b>				<b>-25</b>
<b>15%</b>	<b>Alignment</b>	Policy Priorities: Housing First Approach	Agency Application	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2			<b>10</b>
		Policy Priorities: Client Centered Model (Cultural Competency)		Score of 1-5			<b>5</b>
		Policy Priorities: Ending Homelessness		Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2			<b>10</b>
		Policy Priorities: Systemic Response to Homelessness		Score of 1-5			<b>5</b>
<b>15%</b>	<b>Compliance</b>	Housing First Certification	2015 Application	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2			<b>10</b>
		Participant Eligibility Recordkeeping	SLCO Monitoring	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2			<b>10</b>
		Participation in Coordinated Assessment	Agency Application	Score of 1-5			<b>10</b>
<b>10%</b>	<b>Budget</b>	Drawdowns	LOCCs Report verified by Field Office	within (+ or -) 5%	within (+ or -) 10%	>10%	<b>5</b>
		Expenditure History	Agency Application	Score of 1-5			<b>5</b>
		Use of HUD Dollars	Agency Application	Score of 1-5			<b>5</b>
		Independent Financial Audit	Audit Submitted by Agency	low risk auditee & no findings	low risk auditee or no findings	high risk auditee AND findings	<b>5</b>
<b>10%</b>	<b>Data Quality</b>	HMIS Data Quality Standards	Data Quality Report	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 4			<b>20</b>
Weight	Criteria Category	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Full Points	Half Points	0 Points	Total
<b>15%</b>	<b>Need</b>	Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization*	Q9 : Unit Utilization Rate	≥90%	80-89%	<80%	<b>15</b>
		Percentage of entries with no income	Q24a (PH) / Q24b3 (TH)	>30%	24-29%	<24%	<b>5</b>
		% entries disabled	Q18b.	>85%	65-84%	<65%	<b>5</b>
		% entries literally homeless	Q20a1	>90%	75-89%	<75%	<b>5</b>

Weight	Criteria Category	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Full Points	Half Points	0 Points	Total
35%	Performance	Returns to homelessness	HMIS Report: Measure 2a and 2b: Persons who Exit Homelessness to PH Return to Homelessness	<10%	11%-39%	≥40%	5
		PSH Programs: Percentage of participants who remain in PSH or exited to permanent housing	Q36-1 : The % of stayers and leavers to permanent housing during the operating year.	≥80%	70-79%	<70%	10
		TH Programs: Exits to Permanent Housing	Q36-1 : The % of persons who exited to permanent housing during the operating year.	≥80%	70-79%	<70%	
		Leavers who exit to shelter, streets or unknown	Q29 : (Subtotal of Temporary Destinations)/(Total Leavers - Deceased)	<10%	11-15%	>15%	15
		PSH Programs: Percentage of adults who gained or increased earned income from entry to exit/operating year end	Q24b3 1st Row : Percentage	≥20%	15-20%	<15%	20
		TH Programs: Percentage of adults who gained or increased earned income from entry to exit/operating year end		≥20%	15-20%	<15%	
		PSH Programs: Percentage of adults who gained or increased non-employment income from entry to exit/operating year end	Q24b3 3rd Row : Percentage	≥45%	35-45%	<35%	20
		TH Programs: Percentage of adults who gained or increased non-employment income from entry to exit/operating year end		≥40%	24-39%	<24%	