Local Scoring Guidelines: Renewal TH, PH-RRH, and PH-PSH with an APR
FY2017 HUD CoC Competition
(Approved 5-9-17)

Threshold Requirements:
- Match at 25% for eligible activities; include letters
- As applicable, participate in the most recent PIT, HIC, and AHAR
- Meet all requirements listed in FY2017 HUD CoC NOFA (part of esnaps review) and CoC Regulations

Projects which meet all of the above threshold requirements will be scored according to the following guidelines:

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA
15 %	**Federal Alignment**	How well does the program align with HUD and USICH guidance? • Program Best Practices • Opening Doors Goals and HUD Homeless Policy Priorities • Review of HUD monitoring results • Review of HUD inspection requirements

15 %	**Local Alignment**	• Housing Placement Process
		  ○ Process/time from prioritization to voucher
		  ○ Process/time from voucher to housing placement
		• Operating as an integrated network in evaluation criteria
		  ○ Commitments from range of service providers; integration of education, health, etc.
		  ○ Commitments to provide case management coverage

10%	**Budget**	• Drawdown rate • Expenditure History • Use of HUD Dollars • Cost per positive outcome
10%	**Data Quality**	• Agency level Data Quality review

15 %	**Need**	How well does the program demonstrate it is needed locally?
		• Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization
		• Hard to Serve Populations
		  ○ Percentage of entries with no income
		  ○ % entries disabled
		  ○ % entries literally homeless

35%	**Performance**	How well does the program help the community improve system performance measures? • Returns to Homelessness • Exits to Permanent Housing • Increased income

Threshold Requirements:
- Match at 25% for eligible activities; include letters
- As applicable, participate in the most recent PIT, HIC, and AHAR
- Meet all requirements listed in FY2017 HUD CoC NOFA (part of esnaps review) and CoC Regulations

Projects which meet all of the above threshold requirements will be scored according to the following guidelines:

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA	
15 %	**Federal Alignment**	How well does the program align with HUD and USICH guidance? • Program Best Practices • Opening Doors Goals and HUD Homeless Policy Priorities • Review of HUD monitoring results • Review of HUD inspection requirements	

15 %	**Local Alignment**	• Housing Placement Process
		    ○ Process/time from prioritization to voucher
		    ○ Process/time from voucher to housing placement
		• Operating as an integrated network in evaluation criteria
		    ○ Commitments from range of service providers; integration of education, health, etc.
		    ○ Commitments to provide case management coverage	

10%	**Budget**	• Drawdown rate • Expenditure History • Use of HUD Dollars • Cost per positive outcome	
10%	**Data Quality**	• Agency level Data Quality review	
		Option 1 **(for projects operating for 3+ months)**	**Option 2** **(for projects operating less than 3 months)**

15 %	**Need**	**Operating Year to Date Data**
		How well does the program demonstrate it is needed locally?
		• Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization
		• Hard to Serve Populations
		    ○ Percentage of entries with no income
		    ○ % entries disabled
		    ○ % entries literally homeless
			Narrative about population served, outreach efforts, what hole this fills in our current system

35%	**Performance**	**Operating Year to Date Data** How well does the program help the community improve system performance measures? • Returns to Homelessness • Exits to Permanent Housing • Increased income	Narrative about operationalizing the program • Timeline, partners, expected start date Narrative Plans to work with clients regarding • Returns to homelessness • Exits to PH • Increased income

Penalty assessed if <u>all</u> parts of application are not on time, accurate, and complete