

**Local Scoring Guidelines: Renewal TH, PH-RRH, and PH-PSH with an APR
FY2018 HUD CoC Competition**

Threshold Requirements:

- Match at 25% for eligible activities
- As applicable, participate in the most recent PIT, HIC, and AHAR
- Meet all requirements listed in FY2018 HUD CoC NOFA (part of esnaps review) and CoC Regulations

Projects which meet all of the above threshold requirements will be scored according to the following guidelines:

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA
30%	Project Effectiveness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Best Practices • Opening Doors Goals and HUD Homeless Policy Priorities • Review of HUD monitoring results • Review of HUD inspection requirements • Housing Placement Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Process/time from prioritization to voucher ○ Process/time from voucher to housing placement • Operating as an integrated network in evaluation criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Commitments from range of service providers; integration of education, health, etc. ○ Commitments to provide case management coverage
10%	Budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drawdown rate • Expenditure History • Use of HUD Dollars • Cost per positive outcome
10%	Data Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program level DQ review: accuracy and timeliness
50%	Need & Performance	<p>How well does the program demonstrate it is needed locally?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization • Hard to Serve Populations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Percentage of entries with no income ○ % entries disabled ○ % entries literally homeless <p>How well does the program help the community improve system performance measures?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Returns to Homelessness • Exits to Permanent Housing • Increased income

**Local Scoring Guidelines: Renewal PH-RRH, and PH-PSH without an APR
FY2018 HUD CoC Competition**

Threshold Requirements:

- Match at 25% for eligible activities; include letters
- As applicable, participate in the most recent PIT, HIC, and AHAR
- Meet all requirements listed in FY2018 HUD CoC NOFA (part of esnaps review) and CoC Regulations

Projects which meet all of the above threshold requirements will be scored according to the following guidelines:

WEIGHT	CATEGORY	EVALUATION CRITERIA	
30%	Project Effectiveness	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program Best Practices • Opening Doors Goals and HUD Homeless Policy Priorities • Review of HUD monitoring results • Review of HUD inspection requirements Housing Placement Process <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Process/time from prioritization to voucher ○ Process/time from voucher to housing placement Operating as an integrated network in evaluation criteria <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Commitments from range of service providers; integration of education, health, etc. ○ Commitments to provide case management coverage 	
10%	Budget	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Drawdown rate • Expenditure History • Use of HUD Dollars • Cost per positive outcome 	
10%	Data Quality	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Program level DQ review: accuracy and timeliness 	
		Option 1 (for projects operating for 3+ months)	Option 2 (for projects operating less than 3 months)
50%	Need & Performance	<p>Operating Year to Date Data How well does the program demonstrate it is needed locally?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization • Hard to Serve Populations <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ Percentage of entries with no income ○ % entries disabled <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ○ % entries literally homeless <p>How well does the program help the community improve system performance measures?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Returns to Homelessness • Exits to Permanent Housing • Increased income 	<p>Narrative about population served, outreach efforts, what hole this fills in our current system</p> <p>Narrative about operationalizing the program</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Timeline, partners, expected start date <p>Narrative Plans to work with clients regarding</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Returns to homelessness • Exits to PH • Increased income

Weight	Criteria Category	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Point Range	Total
	Penalty	Late or incomplete application	ZoomGrants		-25
30%	Project Effectiveness	Program Best Practices	Agency Application	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2	10
		Opening Doors Goals and HUD Homeless Policy Priorities		Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2	10
		Review of HUD monitoring results		Score of 1-5	5
		Review of HUD inspection requirements		Score of 1-5	5
		Housing Placement Process: Process/time from prioritization to voucher	Agency Application	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2	10
		Housing Placement Process: Process/time from voucher to housing placement		Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 2	10
		Integrated Network: Partnerships		Score of 1-5	5
		Integrated Network: Case Management Coverage		Score of 1-5	5
10%	Budget	Drawdowns	LOCCs Report verified by Field Office	Score of 1-5	5
		Expenditure History	Agency Application	within (+ or -) 5% within (+ or -) 10% >10%	5
		Use of HUD Dollars	Agency Application	Score of 1-5	5
		Cost Per Postive Outcome	Agency Application	Score of 1-5	5
10%	Data Quality	HUD and local Data Quality Standards	Data Quality Report, Application Narrative	Score of 1-5; Multiplied by 4	20

Weight	Criteria Category	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Full Points	Half Points	0 Points	Total
40%	Need and Performance (All Projects)	Occupancy / Average Daily Unit Utilization	Unit Utilization Rate	>90%	80-90%	<80%	15
		% entries literally homeless	Q15	>90%	75-90%	<75%	5
		% of entries with no income	Q16	>40%	19-40%	<19%	5
		% Leavers who exit to shelter, streets or unknown	Total Negative exits	<10%	10-15%	≥15%	10
		Returns to homelessness	HMIS Report: Measure 2a and 2b	<15%	15%-45%	>45%	5
		Percentage of adults who gained or increased earned income from entry to exit/operating year end	Q19a3 1st Row : Percentage	>20%	15-20%	<15%	20
		Percentage of adults who gained or increased non-employment income from entry to exit/operating year end	Q19a3 3rd Row : Percentage	>45%	35-45%	<35%	20
10%	Project Specific Need and Performance (PSH)	% entries disabled	APR Q13a2	>85%	65-85%	<65%	5
		Percentage of participants who remain in PSH or exited to permanent housing	The % of stayers and leavers to permanent housing during the operating year	>80%	70-80%	<70%	15

Weight	Criteria Category	Renewal Evaluation Criteria	Source of Criteria	Full Points	Half Points	0 Points	Total
10%	Project Specific Need and Performance (RRH)	% entries disabled	APR Q13a2	>50%	25-50%	<25%	5
		Percentage of participants who exited to permanent housing	The % of stayers and leavers to permanent housing during the operating year	>80%	70-80%	<70%	10
		On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	APR Q22c	<30	30-60	>60	5
10%	Project Specific Need and Performance (TH)	% entries disabled	APR Q13a2	>50%	25-50%	<25%	5
		Percentage of participants who exited to permanent housing	The % of stayers and leavers to permanent housing during the operating year	>80%	70-80%	<70%	10
		On average, participants stay in project XX days	APR Q22a1	<180	180-270	>270	5