


# OUR GOAL IS TO ESTABLISH A STRONG AND EFFECTIVE HOMELESS SYSTEM STRUCTURE FOR SALT LAKE COUNTY THAT:

- Supports a community wide commitment to ending homelessness.
- Unites communities and service providers in profoundly new ways.
- Aligns multiple funding streams for efforts to quickly rehouse homeless individuals and families while minimizing trauma and dislocation caused to homeless individuals, families, and communities.
- Promotes access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless individuals and families.
- Optimizes self-sufficiency among individuals and families experiencing homelessness
- Reinforces an overall system planning effort which includes all resources, stakeholders, and funding.
- Provides multiple opportunities for client input and feedback.

# RESEARCH AND ACTIONS THAT INFORMED PROPOSAL

- Board Executive Committee Work
  - Conducted assessment of current system
  - Researched best practices of other communities
- Conversations with CoC and Community Stakeholders
- Board Work Group
  - Rob Wesemann, Jean Hill, Geoff Landward, Staci Stephens, Michelle Flynn, Tricia Davis, Preston Cochrane, and Katherine Fife
- HUD Guidance
  - Suzanne Wagner
    - Housing Innovations, Consultant
  - Patrick Wigmore, Sonoma County
    - HomeBase, HUD Technical Assistance Provider
- Legislative Audit - Released December 2018

# SALT LAKE COUNTY HOMELESS SYSTEM STRUCTURE



# FUNCTIONS OF PRIMARY DECISION MAKING GROUP

- OWNS Ending Homelessness in Salt Lake County
- Promotes community-wide planning and strategic use of resources
- Aligns policy and funding priorities
- Identifies system gaps and priorities to make improvements
- Improves coordination and integration among resources and services
- Improves data collection and performance measurement
- Holds MOUs with Support Agencies
  - Collaborative Applicant
  - HMIS Lead
  - Shelter The Homeless
  - Other, as needed
- Votes on system policy, priorities, and strategies
  - members include representative(s) from each group of engaged and relevant stakeholders
  - voting rights belong to the entities which represent relevant stakeholders
  - voting rights will be balanced to ensure that no one entity or group of related stakeholders will have the ability to control the votes
- Has representation on the State Homeless Coordinating Committee
- Makes recommendations at the State level based upon data, and identified gaps and priorities
- Meets Every Other Month

# ADDITIONAL SYSTEM FUNCTIONS

## Advisory Committee

- Bridge between Task Groups & Primary Decision Making Group
- Take the information and data presented by task groups and make recommendations
- Meets Monthly
- Group consists of:
  - Leads from each task group
  - Receives support from support agencies


## Task Groups

- Broad participation working on specific issues
- Identify information and data regarding issues
- Meets regularly or ad hoc
- Nonvoting members
  - Multiple representatives from each engaged stakeholder group
  - Multiple representatives from agencies
- Informs Primary Decision Making Group



## Support Agencies

- Offer support and data at all levels of system
  - PDMG will be supported by (at least) one representative from (at least) two support agencies
  - Advisory Committee will be supported by (at least) one representative from (at least) two support agencies
  - Task groups will be supported by support agencies as needed
- Hold MOUs with Primary Decision Making Group


# SALT LAKE COUNTY – PROPOSED SYSTEM



# POTENTIAL TASK GROUPS




# SALT LAKE COUNTY – EXAMPLE – SUBSTANCE USE






# SL COUNTY – EXAMPLE – RESOURCE CENTERS



# STATE OF UTAH – HOMELESS SYSTEM STRUCTURE



# TOGETHER, WE...

- have an opportunity to make system-wide change.
- have an opportunity to de-duplicate efforts in the community.
- can focus on specific needs in the community.
- can help inform recommendations of the State Audit on homelessness.
- can help align the voices of all stakeholders.
- are experiencing strong coordination between CoC leadership, HMIS Lead and Collaborative Applicant.
- should utilize the momentum of the Collective Impact Steering Committee.
- can help build a sustainable system to effectively respond to homelessness that endures inevitable change in the community & leadership.
- create clear system oversight through the establishment of a supported governance structure.

# PROPOSED TIMELINE

- December 2018
  - Present proposed structure at Collective Impact Steering Committee meeting (12/12)
  - Update CoC Board and Membership (12/6 & 12/20)
  - Accept new structure name proposals (12/20 – 1/4)
- January 2019
  - Work group to finalize membership and structure details (1/3, etc.)
  - Update STH Board (1/9)
  - Update CoC Board and Membership (1/3 & 1/17)
- February
  - CoC Board & Membership vote on new structure (2/7 & 2/21)
  - Update at Collective Impact Steering Committee meeting (2/13)
- March
  - STH Board vote on new structure
  - Transition to new structure
- April
  - Launch new structure