

**Local Scoring Guidelines: New Projects
FY2016 HUD CoC Competition**

Approved: 7/12/16

Threshold Requirements:

- Match at 25% for eligible activities; include letters
- Leverage at 150% for eligible activities; include letters
- As applicable, participate in the most recent PIT, HIC, and AHAR as applicable
- Meet all requirements listed in FY2016 HUD CoC NOFA (part of esnaps review)
- **New applications must score at least 80% in each of the scoring categories (Capacity and Need) on the ZoomGrants application questions as scored by the Prioritization Committee to be considered for funding.**

Projects which meet all of the above threshold requirements will be scored according to the following guidelines:

**New Permanent Housing
Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing**

| WEIGHT | CATEGORY | EVALUATION CRITERIA |
|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 30% | Capacity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No/minor past audit or monitoring findings or concerns (fiscal or program) from HUD or independent auditors • Grant management system in place for complying with government grants • Involvement in the local homeless housing and services system or local low-income housing and services system. • Effective record of administering government funded housing programs for homeless persons or housing for low-income persons. |
| 70 % | Need | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrate need using data from Housing Inventory Chart, Point in Time Count, and United States Interagency Council on Homelessness SHOP Tool. • Impact on community performance related to HUD system performance measures • Timeline for project implementation • Plan to fully expend HUD funds • Target population • Utilization of Housing First |

Penalty assessed if all parts of application are not on time, accurate, and complete

**Local Scoring Guidelines: New Projects
FY2016 HUD CoC Competition**

Approved: 7/12/16

New Coordinated Assessment Project

| WEIGHT | CATEGORY | EVALUATION CRITERIA |
|-------------|-----------------|--|
| 30% | Capacity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No/minor past audit or monitoring findings or concerns (fiscal or program) from HUD or independent auditors • Grant management system in place for complying with government grants • Involvement in the local homeless housing and services system or local low-income housing and services system. • Effective record of administering government funded housing programs for homeless persons or housing for low-income persons. |
| 70 % | Need | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on community performance related to HUD system performance measures • Timeline for project implementation • Plan to fully expend HUD funds • Inclusive plan for coordination with stakeholders • System design that is accessible for all persons seeking information regarding homelessness assistance • Strategy for advertising the program to reach homeless persons with the highest barriers • Standardized assessment process • Ensuring that program participants are directed to appropriate housing and services |

Penalty assessed if all parts of application are not on time, accurate, and complete

**Local Scoring Guidelines: New Projects
FY2016 HUD CoC Competition**

Approved: 7/12/16

New HMIS Project

| WEIGHT | CATEGORY | EVALUATION CRITERIA |
|--|-----------------|--|
| <i>Because only the HMIS Lead is eligible to apply for funds, capacity will be weighted at 15% rather than 30%</i> | | |
| 15% | Capacity | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No/minor past audit or monitoring findings or concerns (fiscal or program) from HUD or independent auditors • Grant management system in place for complying with government grants • Involvement in the local homeless housing and services system or local low-income housing and services system. • Effective record of administering government funded housing programs for homeless persons or housing for low-income persons. |
| 85% | Need | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Impact on community performance on HUD system performance measures • Timeline for project implementation • Plan to fully expend HUD funds • Demonstrate need using data related to current HMIS staffing and ability to meet current demands • Detailed work plan for use of funds |

Penalty assessed if all parts of application are not on time, accurate, and complete

New Permanent Housing

Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Rehousing

| Weight | Criteria Category | Evaluation Criteria | Source of Criteria | Point Range | Total (200 points) |
|----------------|-------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Penalty | | Late or incomplete application | ZoomGrants/ E-snaps | | -25 |
| 30% | Capacity | Audits/Monitoring Review | ZoomGrants | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2 | 10 |
| | | Grant management system | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2 | 10 |
| | | Involvement in the local homeless system | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 |
| | | Record of administering government funded housing programs | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 |
| 70% | Need | Demonstrate need using data | ZoomGrants | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 8 | 40 |
| | | Impact on community performance | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 6 | 30 |
| | | Timeline for project implementation | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 |
| | | Plan to fully expend HUD funds | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 3 | 15 |
| | | Target population | E-snaps & ZoomGrants | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 3 | 15 |
| | | Utilization of Housing First | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 | |

New Coordinated Assessment Project

| Weight | Criteria Category | Evaluation Criteria | Source of Criteria | Point Range | Total (200 points) |
|--|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Penalty | | Late or incomplete application | ZoomGrants/ E-snaps | | -25 |
| 30% | Capacity | Audits/Monitoring Review | ZoomGrants | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2 | 10 |
| | | Grant management system | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2 | 10 |
| | | Involvement in the local homeless system | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 |
| | | Record of administering government funded housing programs | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 |
| 70% | Need | Impact on community performance | ZoomGrants | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 |
| | | Timeline for project implementation | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 6 | 30 |
| | | Plan to fully expend HUD funds | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2 | 10 |
| | | Inclusive plan for coordination w/ stakeholders | E-snaps & ZoomGrants | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 |
| | | System design that is accessible | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 15 |
| | | Strategy for advertising | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 10 |
| | | Standardized assessment process | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 15 |
| Directed to appropriate housing and services | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 | | | |

HMIS Project

| Weight | Criteria Category | Evaluation Criteria | Source of Criteria | Point Range | Total (200 points) |
|----------------|-------------------|--|---------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| Penalty | | Late or incomplete application | ZoomGrants/E-snaps | | -25 |
| 15% | Capacity | Audits/Monitoring Review | ZoomGrants | Score of 1-5 | 5 |
| | | Grant management system | | Score of 1-5 | 5 |
| | | Involvement in the local homeless system | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2 | 10 |
| | | Record of administering government funded housing programs | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 2 | 10 |
| | | Impact on community performance | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 8 | 40 |
| | | Timeline for project implementation | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 6 | 30 |
| | | Plan to fully expend HUD funds | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 4 | 20 |
| | | Demonstrate need using data | | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 8 | 40 |
| | | Detailed work plan for use of funds | E-snaps & ZoomGrants | Score of 1-5, Multiplied by 8 | 40 |